

The Weekly British Colonist.

Wednesday February 2 1870

Mr Pemberton's Second Letter.

In consideration of the number and ability of those who have entered the arena of discussion, it may, perhaps, be as well that the question of Annexation should be left to some extent in the hands of correspondents; but, inasmuch as Mr Pemberton's second letter is, in a sense, a reply to previous editorial articles, it may be permitted to us to point out one or two inaccuracies contained in it.

Mr Pemberton (unintentionally, we are sure) to some extent confounds what we said about Lord Granville's dispatch with the allusion to words spoken by another Minister of the Crown. We never claimed for the dispatch that it conveyed a guarantee in regard to the railway, or anything approaching to it. We have not the advantage of knowing what amount of respect Mr Pemberton entertained for his grandmother, any more than we are aware of her literary abilities; but we venture to think that when he drags that venerable lady into the controversy, in order to get a left-handed slip at the Colonial Minister, he acts without the good taste by which his writings are usually characterized.

Besides, in designating the dispatch as "finds," he scarcely in accord with public sentiment, most persons having been led to regard it as a clear, able and statesman-like document. On the subject of Imperial guarantees and the feasibility of our railway route we must be excused if we still prefer the evidence of facts and official utterances to the vague assertions of a member of the House of Commons, who might be as ignorant about the subject-matter of his discourse as he probably was respecting the engineering difficulties to railway construction presented by the moon's surface.

It was doubtless an easy task for Mr Ayton to characterize as "one of the wildest dreams that ever entered into the brain of a railway engineer." It is by no means beyond the bounds of probability that the scheme for the construction of the still more difficult American railway now in successful operation was similarly denounced, as every great scheme has been.

Whenever there is a great enterprise about to be undertaken there is never wanting an Ayton or a Pemberton to ridicule it; yet such people don't quite stop the wheels of progress. We must confess surprise, however, that Mr Pemberton, himself an engineer, should prefer the mere assertion of a member of the House of Commons to the opinions of practical engineers and other scientific gentlemen who have reported on the route from personal observation. Surely the opinion of Lord Milton alone is entitled to far more weight upon this subject than that of Mr Ayton can possibly be. But how is it, may we ask, that Mr Pemberton's views have experienced such a revision since 1860? In this interesting little book of that date we find him describing, in glowing terms, the proud position occupied by Vancouver Island as the "half-way house" for English commerce with Asia and Australia—the sole outlet on the Pacific to "the true North-West passage." Has he learned so soon to disregard the opinions of such men as Captain Palliser, Dr Hector, Professor Hind and even Dr Rae—the gentleman to whom he dedicated his book—upon the practicability of overland communication through British territory?

In the little book referred to Mr Pemberton, not only falls in with the theory of the practicability of an overland route, but the north of the 49th parallel; but he agrees with scientific authority in representing it as immensely superior to any possible route south of that line. Where is Cato now? Mr Pemberton appears to think that we are perfectly content to seek the Imperial guarantee for a railway loan "after Confederation"; but, although we do not doubt that it can be obtained as a sequence, a reference to back files will show that we urged upon the people to seek it as a condition. We cannot very easily discover the fitness of Mr Pemberton's allusions to the difficulties experienced in the matters of steam communication and population. It is quite true that the "wiring and sealing process would be exploded by Annexation"; but would it transform an unseaworthy tub into a floating palace, or cause population to flow hither? Are we to believe that the "hosting of the Stars and Stripes" is to be the talismanic sign for a rush of hitherto restrained population and capital and steamboats? How would the bold harpooner with the new theory laid down in Mr Pemberton's first letter, viz., that "national distinctions are but relics of barbarism—that the less civilized a nation, the more clannish it is, and that all such exact lines of demarcation are becoming perceptibly fainter under the combined influences of education, the press, electricity and steam?"

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

In no country in the world has there been more widely extended than in the United States, and it is, therefore, too much for Mr Pemberton to ask the public to believe in his new international studies and, at the same time, believe that "a great tide of population and capital, dammed back by these exact lines of demarcation," is ready to flow in upon this colony the moment these lines are obliterated—not by the influence of the great civilizers, but by Annexation. He cannot keep his cake and eat it, and we leave him to elect upon which horn of this dilemma he chooses to be impaled. In the last point Mr Pemberton seeks to make he is scarcely less unfortunate, as having been published in this journal from a Puget Sound contemporary, was from the *Oregonian*, a paper published in Portland, and to which the article was duly credited; so that the nice little straw castle built upon this erroneous foundation must be scattered to the winds, is, to adopt his own words, "all moonshine." With Annexation and the terminus of overland communication on Puget Sound, we greatly think we would discover ourselves to be on the sixpenny side of the Straits; but with Confederation and a free port, or a liberal commercial policy, we would most unquestionably find ourselves on the shilling side. One would be naturally led to infer from the admirable little duty with which Mr Pemberton concludes his interesting letter that he views Confederation in the light of separation from the parent nation—a virtual change of allegiance. It is possible that we may be wrong in this inference. Poets are presumed to enjoy a great latitude in the employment of words, yet we think this inference the more warranted from the fact that others of the same school do profess to take that view of Confederation. Need we point out the fact that the proposed consolidation of British interests on this continent, so far from being a severance of connection and a change of allegiance, is really the very reverse? Nor is the distinction Mr. Pemberton would raise between British subjects residing at home and those residing in the colonies in agreement with sentiments recently uttered by his favorite authority. We find the *Times* of last month, in a leading article, saying (with what sincerity we do not pretend to decide), "All Englishmen regard colonists as brother Englishmen and fellow subjects." Mr. Pemberton and those who act with him would appear to take a different view. They seem to prefer a transference of their allegiance to a foreign country—a practical recognition of their fellow colonists as "brother Englishmen" and fellow subjects, and the promotion of closer union between each other and with the parent State, with a view to giving solidity, permanency and influence to British power in America.

A Pioneer of OMINA.—A miner named Michael Foy has passed five years on Peace River, engaged in mining, and is said by his friends to have accumulated many thousands of dollars in gold dust. The diggings he now works and his present whereabouts are unknown. About one year ago he remitted a sum of \$3500 in gold to his daughter, a young lady who resides upon the Mainland. Foy went over to Quineca from Cariboo with the first party of prospectors in 1864, but separated from them in 1865. This party brought down \$4000 or \$5000 in gold dust. They only worked on the bars of the Findlay Ditch, the diggings of which were soon exhausted. Foy and his party have sensibly chosen the banks and barrens of small tributaries of the Findlay Ditch, where they find themselves in no fours-leggers and producing an average of four ounces per day to the bushel. By the next express from the Upper Fraser we look for important news from these new diggings.

From the WEST COAST.—The sloop, Thornton, Captain Brown, arrived from the West Coast yesterday. Captain Brown reports the colonial schooner Surprise, Capt. Charlton, on the beach at Eureka (the point where the American bark Maria J. Smith was wrecked) undergoing repairs. The injury to her bottom is serious. The schooner was lying at anchor when a heavy blow came and she was driven ashore. Mr. Gould, Anglor, Captain at Alberni, came by this arrival. The Indians of the West Coast are quiet. Father Widdermere is the only white man at Alberni. The small buildings are in a good state of preservation, but a number of dead reangs at the settlement where a few years ago all was life and activity. The sloop brings a cargo of zinc and oil.

READINGS FROM DICKENS.—Our citizens will shortly be favored with select readings from Dickens by Mr. Pauncefort, the English gentleman who lately played a short but successful engagement at the Theatre Royal, where his faultless rendition of many of the best characters, drew large audiences and won very substantial marks of popular approval. As a reader, Mr. Pauncefort has few equals on the continent. His manner and voice are always agreeable, and his conception of character, if we judge him by the noble standard of "Jasper"—which we consider his very best representation—chaste and lifelike. The public will feel gratified to learn that the brief but pleasant acquaintance which they had with Mr. Pauncefort is not to terminate abruptly, as was at first supposed. The Mechanics' Institute Hall has been secured for the readings.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.

Editor.—I hope you will give me a few lines to explain my position.