

NO LAY-POPE.

You are pleased to call me a "Lay-Pope." Let me assure you of the falsity of this statement, and let me prove it by the most conclusive evidence. If I were a "Pope"—lay or otherwise—I should approve of the "Book of Common Praise," which seeks to introduce into the Church of England hymns, the writing of which a Pope of the Church of Rome, in order to cultivate the doctrine of Transubstantiation, or "the Wafer-God"—repudiated by the Church of England—approved. This was one of the principal errors which was cast out of the Reformed Church of England when she removed the encrustations with which Rome had in centuries covered her. To reintroduce this order and altar worship, with all the false teaching that surrounds it—a systematic effort has been set on foot, especially since the date of that great curse to our Church, "the Oxford Movement," which now openly seeks to bring about an alliance with Rome by its Anglo-Catholic propaganda. No, I am an anti-Pope, and therefore object to the same means being used in the Church of England as were successfully employed in the Church of Rome to make her an Apostate Church—that is, the introduction of the Thomas Aquinas or other Romish hymns, which did their work at Rome and would not be insisted upon in Canada if it were not thought and hoped that they would be as operative here and now as they were under the influence of Pope Urban IV.

LORD SELBORNE'S CONCLUSION.

It is impossible to controvert the learning and the conclusion of Lord Selborne. He was a master on this subject, and his remarks were known to the Hymnal Committee, for care was taken that the members of it should be placed in possession of