

Summary of Part 16.

In connection & evidence <sup>with</sup> by Barle there is a strong inference that the accused was present in the room & had the opportunity of knowing what the discussion was about even tho' hadover spoke normally. So all this conversation the accused was not a stranger & should have realized his error when he got in the jeep which was parked in the rear of the kitchen. And that his offence as charged under Sec 40 commenced immediately when he got in the jeep knowing full well the circumstances.