provisions of the Chattel Mortgage Act of Ontario, R. S. O. ch. 119?

Where such an assignment was made, and the property was formally handed over by the directors to the trustees, who took possession, and subsequently advertised and sold the property under the deed of assign-

Held, that if the assignment did come within the terms of the act, its provisions were fully complied with, the deed being duly registered, and there being an actual and continued change of possession as required by section 5. In such deed of assignment, the property was described as "All the real estate, lands, tenements and hereditaments of the said debtors (company) whatsoever and wheresoever, of or to which they are now seized or entitled, or of or to which they may have any estate, right, title or interest of any kind or description with the appurtenances, the particulars of which are more particularly set out in the schedule hereto and all and singular the personal estate and effects, stock in trade, goods, chattels, right and credits, fixtures, book debts, notes, accounts, books of account, choses in action, and all other the personal estate and effects whatsoever and wheresoever, &c." schedule annexed specifically designated the real estate, and included the foundry erections and buildings thereon erected and including all articles, such as engines &c., in or upon said premises.

Held, that this was a sufficient description of the property intended to be conveyed to satisfy sec. 23 of R. S. O. c. 119. McCall v. Wolff, May 12, 1885, unreported, approved

Robinson, Q.C., and W. M. Hall, for the ap-

Dr. McMichael, Q.C., S. H. Blake, Q.C., and H. McK. Wilson, Q.C., for the respondents.

Ontario.]

Оттаwa, March 14, 1887.

SHOOLBRED'S CASE.

Company—Winding up Act—45 V. ch. 23 (D) Appointment of liquidator under Notice of appointment under sec. 24—Order set aside for want of.

It is a substantial objection to a winding up order appointing a liquidator to the estate of an insolvent company under 45 Viccap. 23, that such order has been made without notice to the creditors, contributories, shareholders or members of the company, as required by section 24 of the said Act, and an order so made was set aside, and the petition therefor referred back to the judge to be dealt with anew.

Per GWYNNE, J. (dissenting), that such an objection is purely technical and unsubstantial, and should not be allowed to form the subject of an appeal to this Court.

Appeal allowed.

Cassels, Q.C., and Walker, for appellants. Bain, Q.C., for respondents.

Quebec.]

OTTAWA, March 14, 1887.

WILLIAM W. WHEELER et al. (Defendants in the Court below), Appellants, and John BLACK et al. (Plaintiffs in the Court below), Respondents.

Actio confessoria servitutis—Building of barn over alley subject to right of access to drain -Aggravation-Art. 557 C.C.

By deed dated Aug. 22, 1843, P. D. sold to one J. B. a certain property in the town of St. John, P. Q., with the right of draining thec ellar or cellars of the said property "by making and passing a good drain through the lots the said Pierre Dubeau has and possesses . . . . and beneath the alley now left open," " and between the several houses belonging to the said Pierre Dubeau," and the said deed of sale establishing the said servitude was duly registered by a memorial thereof, October 6, 1843.

The respondents having subsequently acquired said property, by their present action against the appellants, owners of the servient land, prayed that the said appellants' property be declared to have been and to be still subject to said servitude, and that the appellants be ordered to demolish a portion of a large barn, constructed by them over said drain, which, they claim, tended to diminish the use of the servitude and to render its exercise more inconvenient. The