1

re

to

of fa

CU

The defendants then appealed to Queen's Bench. McKay v. Rumthe Court of Queen's Bench under ble . . . 54 Vic., c. 2, s. 21, (M. 1891), as substituted for section 243 of the 3. Jurisdiction-Waiver of ob-County Courts Act, 1887.

an action of replevin because the Court was first raised by the disquestion in issue is not a money pute note, but when the case came

the County Court Judge for leave mention of the matter, and it was to appeal to the Court of Queen's only after the case had been fully Bench under section 244 of the tried that objection to the jurisdic-County Courts Act, 1887, and tion was taken. leave was refused.

They then applied to a Judge of waived any objection to the juristhe Court of Queen's Bench for diction. leave to appeal.

County Court exceeded his powers Friesen v. Smith 131 in entering a verdict for the plain- 4. Appeal from-Questions of

-Setting aside order—Ex partet municipality of North Norfolk, 256. 54 Vic. c. 2, s. 21, substituted for 5. Costs-Taxation of-Witness Act, 1887, there is an appeal to a Act - Transcript of judgment -County Court Judge, final or inter-the judgment still remains a judglocutory, and whether upon the ment of the original County Court. merits in an action, or upon a See Costs and Security for mere point of practice.

A judgment by default, regularly this rule applies to the County defence.

jection-Unsettled account.] - A Held, that there is no appeal in question of jurisdiction in a County demand, but one of title to goods, on to be tried the defendant allow-The defendants then applied to ed the trial to go on without any

Held, that by so doing defendant

The objection should have been Held, that the Judge of the taken at the opening of the case.

tiff instead of granting a new trial ; fact-Notes of evidence transbut that, under the circumstances, mitted.]-The Court of Queen's the defendants having little means Bench is a Court of Appeal from and no apparent defence, it was in the County Courts upon facts as the interests of justice not to allow well as law, and it is impossible to the litigation to be prolonged, and infer that there was evidence to the leave was refused. Haddock v. support a particular finding of the Russell 25 Court below, unless such appears 2. Appeal Interlocutory order this Court. Curran v. The Rural

section 248 of the County Courts fees-Counsel fees-County Courts Judge of the Court of Queen's Effect of-After transcript filed in Bench from any order made by a Q. B. or another County Court,

Costs. 2.

signed, cannot be set aside ex parte, 6. Prohibition—Notice to plain-but only upon notice to the plain-tiff of objection to jurisdiction tiff and an affidavit of merits, and Dispute note-Costs - Meritorious Courts as well as the Court of See PROHIBITION, 1,