

lishman can be taxed without his own Consent as an Individual; or that no *Englischman* can be taxed without the Consent of the Persons he chuses to represent him; or that no *Englischman* can be taxed without the Consent of the Majority of all those, who are elected by himself and others of his Fellow Subjects to represent them. Now let us impartially consider, whether any one of these Propositions are in Fact true: if not, then this wonderful Structure which has been erected upon them, falls at once to the Ground, and like another *Babel*, perishes by a Confusion of Words, which the Builders themselves are unable to understand.

First then, that no *Englischman* is or can be taxed but by his own Consent as an Individual: this is so far from being true, that it is the very Reverse of Truth; for no Man that I know of is taxed by his own Consent; and an *Englischman*,
I believe,