

	PAGE.
1869, . . . . .	43
1870, . . . . .	44
Self-defence, . . . . .	46
An infamous treachery, . . . . .	48
1871, . . . . .	49
1872, . . . . .	51
Those Orange lambs ! . . . . .	62
Arbitrary judicial decision, . . . . .	64
Another amnesty, but conditioned on exile, . . . . .	65
What led to the rebellion of 1885, . . . . .	66
Riel's happy home in Manitoba, . . . . .	69
Riel's persecuted countrymen beg for his aid, . . . . .	70
The voice of patriotism, . . . . .	71
An historical comparison, . . . . .	72
Constitutional agitation and the right of petition met by muskets, . . . . .	73
The insurrection of 1885, . . . . .	74
 III.—OPINION OF THE PRESS, . . . . .	 78
Obstinacy is no substitute for honor.— <i>N. Y.</i> <i>Herald</i> , . . . . .	 79
The American View of the Riel case.— <i>Central</i> <i>Law Journal</i> , of St. Louis, . . . . .	 80
Riel's blood will be on Sir John's hands.— <i>N. Y.</i> <i>Herald</i> , . . . . .	 81
Nothing gained by hanging.— <i>Baltimore Times</i> , "I wish to God I could catch him !"— <i>N. Y.</i> <i>Herald</i> , . . . . .	 82
Canada statesmanship at fault.— <i>Washington</i> <i>Post</i> , . . . . .	 83