

young man by scholarships large enough to cover the difference. I should be opposed to remission of fees. To deserve remission, a man ought to be pretty nearly good enough to win a scholarship, and I don't think the university should assume the responsibility of finding out accurately the economic situation of a student. That would seem to me to expose it to every species of deception.

But are you willing to countenance "expansion" at the present time? That is the way, I suppose, that the establishment of a new chair would look to financial supporters of the university and even to some dons who have had their salaries reduced. I'm keen enough on making the step, as you know, but I can see there rather serious reason for delay.

In the other faculties there is full justification for the proposed increase, because of the large charge to general funds. But there is a good deal to be said too for something like uniformity of fees as between the professional schools. We certainly don't want a flood of people coming to us because it's a