Latulippe

Division

The House divided on motion No. 3 (Mr. Dinsdale) which was negatived on the following division:

YEAS

Messrs:

Alexander Lundrigan Alkenbrack MacInnis (Mrs.) Baldwin MacKay Barnett MacLean Beaudoin Macquarrie Rell MacRae Bigg McCleave Carter McGrath Code McIntosh Danforth McKinley Dinsdale Mazankowski Flemming Monteith Fortin Murta Gilbert Nesbitt Godin Noble Grills Peddle Gundlock Peters Hales Ritchie Harding Rodrigue Horner Rondeau Howe Rose Saltsman Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre) Scott Knowles (Norfolk-Simpson Haldimand) Skorevko Korchinski Southam Thomas (Moncton) Lambert (Bellechasse) Thompson (Red Deer) La Salle Winch

NAYS

Woolliams-57.

Messrs:

Allmand Gover Andras Groos Barrett Guilbault Béchard Hopkins Beer Hymmen Benson Jamieson Blair Jerome Borrie Lachance Boulanger Laflamme Breau Lang (Saskatoon-Caccia Humboldt) Cafik Langlois Chappell Laniel Chrétien LeBlanc (Rimouski)

Clermont Lessard (LaSalle) Cobbe Lessard Comtois (Lac-Saint-Jean) Corbin Lind Corriveau Loiselle Côté (Longueuil) MacGuigan Crossman Mackasey Cullen McIlraith Cvr McNulty Davis Mahoney

Deakon Marchand
Dupras (Kamloops-Cariboo)
Duquet Morison

Marceau

Éthier Noël Forest Olson Forget Osler Foster Penner Francis Perrault Gendron Pringle Gibson Robinson Givens Rochon

[Mr. Speaker.]

Deachman

Messrs:

Roy (Laval) Watson
Serré Whelan
Stafford Whicher
St. Pierre Whiting
Sulatycky Yanakis—79.

Mr. Speaker: I declare the motion lost.

Hon. Jean-Pierre Côté (Postmaster General) moved that Bill C-240, to amend the Post Office Act, as reported (with amendments) from the Standing Committee on Transport and Communications, be concurred in.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. Speaker: When shall the said bill be read the third time? By leave, now?

Some hon. Members: By leave, now.

Mr. Côté (Longueuil) moved that the bill be read the third time and do pass.

Mr. Robert McCleave (Halifax-East Hants): Mr. Speaker, I have been invited to do so many things that dare not enumerate them to Your Honour. Let me tell the House quickly, before everyone moves out, that in the next few minutes I intend to move a six months' hoist to this bill. Now that they know the purport of my motive, they can check in *Hansard* to find my reasons.

• (9:10 p.m.)

My main reason is that people in Canada are by and large dissatisfied with the services provided by the Post Office. That may be a harsh indictment but the fact is there are two things very apparent to the people. They know the Post Office is one department with which they have close contact. They expect a great deal from this department and are very often disappointed with its services. Keeping these two factors in mind, there is no difficulty on my part in moving this amendment. Perhaps I am the last person in the world to do this, but I do not criticize on the basis of little or no evidence; I criticize only when the evidence is so overwhelming that there is only one thing to do, and that is stand in my place and say what must be said.

I am not particularly happy in my role of critic but I feel that in Canada we should have arranged things in a different way. We should have a better postal service. Let me support what I say by reading from the Toronto Globe and Mail of Friday, June 11, under the headline "Quidnunc's theory: Canada mail sent by canoe". Heading this article is a cartoon depicting someone with an earnest look on his face, such as that of the Postmaster General (Mr. Côté). He is paddling a canoe with a bag of Canadian mail on his shoulder. On the prow of the canoe sits a bird looking rather sceptically at the paddler. The cartoon puts me in mind of a member of this party who is a critic of the Post Office Department, the hon. member for Brandon-Souris (Mr. Dinsdale). The bird has a sort of jaundiced look as it casts its eyes back on the fellow paddling the canoe. The article is written by M.M.