

given to the subjects of such able Professors as McGill possessed, while, on the other hand, Lennoxville had given a full page to each professor in her Medical Faculty, detailing the various branches that each subject treated of, and fully explaining the extent of the course to be lectured upon.

Our attention, then, being drawn to the fact that Hygiene, as a study, in the Bishop's College programme, was brought to the front on equal terms and honor with the other subjects of the Medical course, and was to be a compulsory subject for the degree, we naturally enough pleaded with McGill to give the same prominence in her course, asserting that her friends had every right to expect it, from the fact that she had, in the last year, raised the subject to a chair in the University, and called an eminent man from an important chair in Lennoxville to fill it. We certainly gave a free opinion on optional subjects, based upon our own observation of them; and it was because the importance of the subject for the well-being of the student and his future usefulness is thoroughly acknowledged on all sides, that we pleaded hard to have it made compulsory; and one of these days it assuredly will be. Now we are accused, while urging this most reasonable view, of having used "impertinent, uncalled for, and very questionable remarks with reference to the Medical Faculty of McGill University." We protest against such language as being neither *fair* nor *true*. First; taking the term impertinent as alluding to the subject—for it is scarcely credible that a *gentleman* would use it personally—we maintain that it is exceedingly *pertinent*, and of great weight and importance, and has a full bearing on the subject in hand, and we shall not cease to urge on our Medical Faculty the full adoption of the subject into her required course. Next, as to "uncalled for"; if Hygiene, as the foundation of all sanitary progress, *does not* confessedly stand at the head of curative science, then to urge the University to adopt it with energy and zeal was "uncalled for"; but if, on the contrary, there was a manifest slight shown to the subject by shelving it as optional, when every man of intelligence is studying it in self-defence and for the good of the public at large, then it was not "uncalled for."