

Classified Advertising

One cent per word each insertion. Discount of 33 1-3 per cent. on advertisements running one week or longer if paid in advance. Minimum charge 25 cents.

FOR SALE.

New Home, New Domestic, and other machines. Genuine needles and oil, all kinds, and Edison Phonographs. Buyer will save money in my shop. Call and see. Sewing Machines and Phonographs Repaired. William Crawford, 106 Princess St., St. John.

FOR SALE—Steam yacht "Dream," in good order and condition—74 feet by 14 feet beam. Can be seen where she now lies at Marble Cove, Terms, etc., from W. H. Thorne.

FOR SALE—A leasehold lot of land situated at No. 39 Douglas Avenue, with dwelling house thereon, containing two splendid flats; hot water heating, electric lights and all modern improvements; also four freehold lots of land in the rear of same with barn thereon. Apply to Mrs. J. A. McIntyre on the premises, or to Edward P. Raymond, Barrister, 103 Prince William Street.

FOR SALE—Second Hand National Cash Register. Apply at once, Box 68.

FOR SALE OR TO LET—That desirable residence 73 Sewell street. Every modern improvement. Burton L. Gerow, Barrister-at-Law, 102 Prince William Street.

FOR SALE—100 H. P. Stationary Saw Mill. Apply F. C. Bogart, Rotheray.

PROPERTY FOR SALE

No. 1—Freehold 30x100, three story house, first story brick, also brick front, front-proof cellar and very warm house, modern plumbing. Will pay 12 1/2 per cent. net. 73 Chesley Street.

No. 2—Freehold 27x100, self contained house, four rooms, also large barn. House can be made for two tenants at small outlay. Modern plumbing. 77 Chesley Street.

No. 3—Leasehold 36x170, Three tenement and small barn. House in fine repair. Will pay 15 per cent. 55 Magazine Street.

No. 4—Leasehold 40x125, built 1909, 2 tenement, expenses nominal, Ground rent \$24. Will pay 15 per cent. 191 Millidge Ave.

These properties all offered at very low figures and are all good buys. Further information from

ALFRED BURLEY & CO., 46 Princess Street.

WEST SIDE FREEHOLD FOR SALE
No. 1—60x105 1-2, two and one-half story, three tenement, granite foundation; house in good repair, nice yard, shade trees, furniture in one flat included. Will pay 15 per cent. net. 133 St. James Street.

No. 2—60x60, corner lot, two and one-half story, two small flats; interior in fine repair. Will pay 15 per cent. net. Corner Lancaster and Water. A low priced property.

No. 3—37x50, self-contained house, four rooms, Lancaster, near Water. Very low price.

No. 4—60x100, one and one-half story, 2-family house, in very good repair. Will pay 15 per cent. net. 196 Water Street. Splendid chance for development and improvement. Two, three and four all very cheap properties, offering a splendid opportunity for home or investment. Further information from

ALFRED BURLEY & CO., Phone 890.

THE MARITIME R. & B. EXCHANGE HAVE FOR SALE—Farms suitable for Cattle, Poultry, and Mixed Farming. We solicit your business to buy, sell, or exchange Real Estate and Business Chances. Public Warehouses for storing light and heavy goods. Furniture, etc., insured and advances made. J. H. Poole & Son, Realty and Business Brokers, 18 to 28 Nelson St., Phone M. 935-11.

APARTMENT HOUSES

CARVILL HALL APARTMENTS. Ready for occupancy March 1st. Apply GEO. CARVILL, 3 King St.

TO LET.

TO LET OR FOR SALE. One flat and one self-contained house at 117 and 119 King Street East. Locality desirable; terms moderate. Apply R. M. Rive, Solicitor, Robinson Building, Market Square.

TO LET—A self-contained cottage, 7 rooms. Rent \$150.00. Apply Mrs. J. J. Gordon, 158 St. John, W. E.

LARGE STORE TO LET.—Store No. 15 Mill Street, steam heating; vault; hardwood floor; shipping privileges on Drury Lane; freight elevator; well lighted front and rear could be converted into an excellent suite of offices. Also part of fourth flat. Apply to John O'Regan, 17 Mill St., City.

TO LET—Plots to rent. Apply R. T. C. Knowles, 62 Princess Street.

Musical Instruments Repaired. VIOLINS, MANDOLINES, and all stringed instruments and bows repaired. SYDNEY GIBBS, 61 Sydney Street.

Machinery Bulletin

FOR STEAM ENGINES AND BOILERS

Rock Drills,

Concrete, Iron Working, Wood Working, Saw Mill

Machinery.

Belted, Babbitt, Shafting,

Tool Steel,

Mill and Factory Supplies

Write, Call or Phone 1488.

The A. R. Williams Machinery Co.

Of St. John, Ltd. 15 Dock St.

WANTED.

WANTED—Paint Maker. A Gilmour.

WANTED—Live foxes, red, black and silver. Females preferred. Will pay highest cash prices. H. B. Weeks, Fredericton, P. E. I.

WANTED—Anyone moving to British Columbia latter part of this month might save freight by communicating with W. B. Burnett, Box 80, Sussex.

HELP WANTED—Trustworthy man or woman to travel and appoint local representatives for established firm. \$15.00 weekly and expenses. Experience not required. Start at home. Manager, Box 53, Toronto.

SITUATIONS VACANT.

SALESMEN—\$50 per week selling one hand Egg Beater. Sample and return 25c. Money refunded if unsatisfactory. Collette Mfg. Co., Colingwood, Ont.

AGENTS WANTED—Realty Company in Ontario, Quebec and New Brunswick to sell sub-division in live Western town. Good paying proposition. Exclusive right for each province given suitable firms. Toronto Montreal and St. John preferred. Write Box 35, Lunenburg, Sask.

AGENTS—Salary and commission, to sell Red Tag Stock. Complete exclusive lines. Specially hardy. Grow only by us—sold only by our agents. Elegant free samples. Write now to Dominion Nurseries, Montreal.

MEN WANTED to learn the barber trade. We teach the trade in eight weeks. Constant practice. Proper instruction. Graduates earn from \$12 to \$18 per week. Write for full information. H. J. Greene Barber College, 734 Main Street, Cor. Mill, St. John, N. B.

LOST.

LOST—On Thursday afternoon, January 25, on Wentworth street, between Union Street and Centenary church, a black velvet necktie. Finder will please return to 355 Union Street.

PROFESSIONAL.

INCHES & HAZEN

C. F. INCHES. D. KING HAZEN.

Barriers, etc.

108 PRINCE WILLIAM STREET.

Phone Main 380.

ROBT. WILBY, Medical Electrical Specialist and Masseuse. Assistant to the late Mr. Hayward. England. Treats all Nervous and Muscular Diseases, Weakness and Wasting, Rheumatism, Gout, etc. Eleven years' experience in England. Consultation free. 27 Coburg St. Phone 2607-21.

SLEIGHING PARTIES

LARGE SLEIGHS for sleighing parties with careful drivers at Hogan's Stables, Waterloo Street. Phone 1557.

HORSE CLIPPING

ELECTRIC CLIPPING—Horses clipped and groomed while you wait at Shore's Stable, Union Street. Only electric clipper in the city.

ENGRAVERS.

F. C. WESLEY & CO., Artists, Engravers and Electrotypers. 59 Water Street, St. John, N. B. Telephone 982.

WATCHES

If you want a watch I can supply you with the best makes at reasonable prices. Special attention given to fine watch repairing. ERNEST A. LAW, Issuer of Marriage Licenses.

Rev. Mr. Baird States Case Against Union

Sussex Pastor Deals Decisively with Subject Before Halifax Congregation—Should Preserve Presbyterianism.

(Halifax Chronicle.)

Rev. Frank W. Baird, M. A., of Sussex, N. B., who preached in St. Andrew's and St. Matthew's churches on Sunday, presented the case against the proposed union of the Presbyterian, Methodist and Congregational churches. Taking as his text Psalm 50:2—"Out of Zion, the perfection of beauty, God hath shined," he proceeded to show:

First—God operates in, or shines upon the world, through and by means of His church.

Second—That of that church Presbyterianism is a noble and fit representative, and therefore should be preserved, and

Third—That the proposed union church being a deliberate Presbyterian, cannot be looked upon as likely to produce the results which may be obtained by the three negotiating churches working in union, harmony and peace, God shining through them all as He has done in the past.

After an elaborate exposition of the Standards of the Protestant church and the Westminster Confession, Mr. Baird stated his objections to union as follows:

In the Union Church the Confession, our Catechisms, our Order of Worship, our Form of Government will not be once named among us. On the word of two of the oldest and most honored members of the Union Committee, both of them Ex-moderators of the General Assembly, I have

it that in the Union Church our Standards will have no place. We are justified on this matter in holding the followers to the words of the leader also the words of the rank and file, that they have something better; and to his mind this was a happy deliverance. Let all be clear on this point.

I plead with you to pause before voting approval of this union, but not to let it pass. What benefit will this union be to Halifax? Absolutely none. The effective unions must be brought about locally in this village and contiguous Presbyteries. It is a willingness to unite; and it must never be forgotten that liberty is as much a Christian principle as unity; and again the Kingdom of God cometh not with observation, nor yet will it come with union such as is proposed.

And why should we not unite our own weak charges say in this city and in St. John if union is to be such a boon? How strangely illogical is the thinking of some of the members of the union committee, straining every nerve to effect this union, at the same time opposing the union of weak non-self-sustaining churches. Advocating an immense ecclesiastical merger, flying in the face of the entire sentiment of the world today which is calling for the dissolution of all trusts. That we should have either more or money is the fondest delusion. The Baptists of New Brunswick united six years ago and they have 18 more men in their ministry today than before the union, they have also more money.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

PLAN NAVAL BASE IN JAMAICA WHEN CANAL IS OPENED

Kingston, Ja., Feb. 6.—A British naval commission is coming to Jamaica in June next to report on the advisability of opening the dockyard here in view of the approaching opening of the Panama canal, according to advice received here from England. If the report of the commission should be favorable, the dockyard establishment will be enlarged in connection with a scheme of the British Admiralty to make Jamaica a naval base.

I read with you to pause before voting approval of this union, but not to let it pass. What benefit will this union be to Halifax? Absolutely none. The effective unions must be brought about locally in this village and contiguous Presbyteries. It is a willingness to unite; and it must never be forgotten that liberty is as much a Christian principle as unity; and again the Kingdom of God cometh not with observation, nor yet will it come with union such as is proposed.

And why should we not unite our own weak charges say in this city and in St. John if union is to be such a boon? How strangely illogical is the thinking of some of the members of the union committee, straining every nerve to effect this union, at the same time opposing the union of weak non-self-sustaining churches. Advocating an immense ecclesiastical merger, flying in the face of the entire sentiment of the world today which is calling for the dissolution of all trusts. That we should have either more or money is the fondest delusion. The Baptists of New Brunswick united six years ago and they have 18 more men in their ministry today than before the union, they have also more money.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

And why should we lose untold sums, just as did the United Free Church of Scotland. Mr. Rockefeller gave to the Baptists as a Baptist; and John S. Kennedy his money as a Presbyterian. One of the primary and initial fallacies of the whole union position is that it proceeds on the false assumption that the united church would have the revenues of the churches it unites.

NO ALUM MAGIC BAKING POWDER II

THE WHITEST, PUREST, MAGIC BAKING POWDER NEW STYLE LABEL

MAGIC BAKING POWDER

MADE IN CANADA

MAGIC BAKING POWDER

MAGIC BAKING POWDER

MAGIC BAKING POWDER

MAGIC BAKING POWDER

MAGIC BAKING POWDER

MAGIC BAKING POWDER

MAGIC BAKING POWDER