

Ordered that the letter be referred to the Finance Committee, with power to take steps for the proper roofing of the building.

The letter of Eudo Saunders as to a certificate of his having passed his examination as an articled clerk, was received and read.

Ordered that for the future all persons who have passed the examination as articled clerks, be entitled to receive a certificate to that effect, signed by the Secretary, on payment of a fee of one dollar.

Mr. Robertson moved the third reading of the proposed rules, read a first and second time last term, as follows :

1. That subsection 1 of section 4 of rule 2, under 39 Vic. cap. 31, section 1, be rescinded, from and after the last day of Michaelmas term next.

Mr. Henderson moved in amendment to strike out the words "Of Michaelmas term next," and to insert "of this Term" in lieu thereof.—Carried.

The rule as amended was read a third time, as follows :

1. That subsection 1 of section 4 of rule 2, under 39 Vic., cap. 31, section 1, be rescinded, from and after the last day of this term.

The rule as amended was adopted.

Mr. Robertson, by leave, withdrew the second rule proposed.

Mr. Storm, the architect, laid before Convocation plans to meet the objection raised by the Government Engineer.

Ordered that a representation be made to the Government, with a view to inducing them to accede to the original plan, and in case that be not agreed to, that the Committee be authorized to proceed on the modified plan.

The Select Committee appointed to consider the papers and conduct the examination of Mr. Frederick Wright, presented their report, which was received and read.

Moved by Mr. Crickmore, that the report be considered forthwith.

Mr. Robertson moved in amendment that it be considered the first day of next term.

The amendment was lost. The report was ordered for immediate consideration.

Mr. Crickmore moved that the report be adopted.—Carried.

Mr. Wright was ordered to be called to the Bar, and attended, and was called accordingly.

Convocation adjourned.

NOTES OF CASES

IN THE ONTARIO COURTS, PUBLISHED
IN ADVANCE, BY ORDER OF THE
LAW SOCIETY.

SUPREME COURT OF CANADA.

JUNE SESSIONS, 1880.

NORTH ONTARIO CONTROVERTED ELECTION.
WHEELER, Appellant, and GIBBS, Respondent.

Promise to pay legal expenses, sub-sec 3, sec. 92, The Dominion Elections Act, 1874.

Appeal from a judgment of Mr. Justice Armour, deciding that the appellant had been personally guilty of bribery within the meaning of sub-sec. 3, sec. 92, of the Dominion Elections Act, 1874, "for having agreed and promised to pay the expenses of one Hurd, a voter and a professional speaker." It was admitted Hurd addressed meetings in the interest of appellant, and during the time of the election made no demand for expenses except on one occasion; when, being unexpectedly without money, he asked for and received the sum of \$1 50 for the purpose of paying the livery bill of his horse.

Held, that the weight of evidence showed that the appellant only promised to pay Hurd's travelling expenses, if it were legal to do so, and such a promise was not a breach of sub-sec 3, of sec. 92, of the Dominion Elections Act, 1874.

The question, whether or not under the law, candidates may or may not legally employ and pay for the expenses and services of canvassers and speakers, the Chief-Justice said it was unnecessary to determine as the appellant had not paid Hurd's expenses.

Hodgins, Q.C., for appellant.

Hector Cameron, Q.C., and *McCarthy, Q.C.* for respondent.