

Mr. Thomas M. Bell (Saint John-Lancaster): The Parliamentary Secretary made a very high minded speech about the virtue of our national parks, but he was tempted to deal again with the matter of leases. Once again, he tried to leave the impression that an action of a previous government is now being terminated. He referred to the hon. member for Brandon-Souris (Mr. Dinsdale) on two or three occasions but he did not deal with the pertinent part of his comments which were put on the record of *Hansard* for Wednesday, January 21, at Page 2627. The hon. member said the following in dealing with the letter, and the implications thereof, in a question of privilege:

The letter...merely outlines the orderly plan that was adopted in 1962 for the development of national parks in western Canada based on the principles of wise management and multi-use that emerged out of the Resources for Tomorrow conference in 1961. It carefully preserves the right of perpetuity in the original leases.

That statement went unchallenged at the time. I hope the committee will look into that statement and will challenge the implication it contains and which were left by the Parliamentary Secretary and the minister.

I think we should have a vote now. I am curious how the Alberta government members will vote on this measure. I do not think we need to deal any further with it, but as one who had some responsibility for the national parks for 15 years I believe that the government is making a grave mistake in moving into the day-to-day activities of our national parks through a leasehold corporation. I think they will regret the day they started this whole idea.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is the House ready for the question? The question is on the amendment of the hon. member for Edmonton West (Mr. Lambert). All those in favour of the amendment will please say yea.

Some hon. Members: Yea.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: All those opposed please say nay.

Some hon. Members: Nay.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: In my opinion the nays have it.

And more than five members having risen:

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Call in the members.

Division

The House divided on the amendment (Mr. Lambert) which was negated on the following division:

YEAS

Messrs:

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Alkenbrack | MacLean |
| Asselin | McCleave |
| Barnett | McCutcheon |
| Bell | McGrath |
| Benjamin | McIntosh |
| Brewin | McKinley |
| Burton | Mather |
| Cadieu (Meadow Lake) | Mazankowski |
| Coates | Monteith |
| Code | Moore |
| Comeau | Muir (Lisgar) |
| Crouse | Nesbitt |
| Danforth | Nowlan |
| Downey | Nystrom |
| Fairweather | Peters |
| Flemming | Ricard |
| Forrestall | Ritchie |
| Gilbert | Ryan |
| Gleave | Rynard |
| Grills | Saltsman |
| Gundlock | Schumacher |
| Hales | Scott |
| Harding | Simpson |
| Horner | Skoberg |
| Howard (Skeena) | Skoreyko |
| Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre) | Southern |
| Knowles (Norfolk-Haldimand) | Stewart (Marquette) |
| Korchinski | Thomas (Moncton) |
| Lewis | Thompson (Red Deer) |
| Lundrigan | Thomson (Battleford-Kindersley) |
| MacEwan | Valade |
| MacInnis (Cape Breton-East Richmond) | Winch |
| | Wooliams |
| | Yewchuk—66. |

NAYS

Messrs:

- | | |
|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Anderson | Davis |
| Badanai | Deachman |
| Barrett | De Bané |
| Basford | Dionne |
| Beaudoin | Douglas (Assiniboia) |
| Bécharé | Drury |
| Beer | Duquet |
| Benson | Énard |
| Blouin | Forest |
| Borrie | Forget |
| Breau | Foster |
| Brown | Francis |
| Buchanan | Gauthier |
| Caccia | Gendron |
| Cadieux (Labelle) | Gervais |
| Cafik | Gibson |
| Cantin | Godin |
| Chrétien | Goode |
| Clermont | Goyer |
| Cobbe | Groos |
| Comtois | Guay |
| Corbin | (St. Boniface) |
| Côté (Longueuil) | Harries |
| Côté (Richelieu) | Hogarth |
| Crossman | Honey |
| Cullen | Howard (Okanagan Boundary) |
| Cyr | |