

Mr. ROCHE:

1. Wm. Badger, \$215.30.
2. F. H. Forgie, \$112.

KITSILANO INDIAN RESERVE.

Mr. MACDONALD:

1. What were the total amounts paid for legal expenses to each of the counsel employed on the arbitration in connection with the Kitsilano Indian Reserve, Vancouver, B.C.?
2. What are the names of the persons to whom said payments were made?

Mr. ROCHE:

1. The total amount paid for legal expenses to counsel employed on the arbitration in connection with the Kitsilano Indian Reserve, Vancouver, B.C., was \$3,406.50.
2. The name of the person to whom the said payment was made is Stuart Livingston, of Vancouver.

MR. GRAHAM BELL.

Mr. PACAUD:

1. Has Mr. Graham Bell of the Railway Department drawn his departmental salary while at the same time receiving his pay as a member of the Canadian army?
2. Does Mr. Graham Bell draw pay from any other department of the Government?

Mr. REID:

1. Mr. Graham Bell, while performing duties in connection with Canadian Expeditionary Force, also devoted part of his time to work of the Railway Department. Later the Minister of Railways recalled him to his full duties in the Railway Department. He only received his civil pay—part paid by Railway Department and part paid by Militia Department.
2. No.

LEVIS RAILWAY STATION.

Mr. BOURASSA:

1. Does the Government propose to build a railway station in the City of Lévis?
2. If so, at what point does it propose to build this station, and when?
3. If not, does it propose to repair the present station at Lévis and put it in a good and proper condition for travellers and those awaiting the trains?

Mr. REID:

1. Yes.
2. At most suitable place when war conditions will justify proceeding with this work.
3. Yes, repairs now in progress.

ST. ROMUALD PUBLIC WORKS.

Mr. BOURASSA:

1. Does the Government propose to build a post office on the land which has been bought for that purpose in St. Romuald?
2. If so, when?

Mr. REID:

- 1 and 2. Not under present conditions.

BROMPTONVILLE POSTMASTER.

Mr. TOBIN:

1. Who is the postmaster at Bromptonville, Richmond County, Quebec?
2. Have any complaints been received concerning his administration?
3. If so, who are the complainants, and what is the nature of the complaints?
4. Have said complaints been investigated?
5. If so, what was the result?
6. Has any petition been received asking for the dismissal of the present postmaster?
7. If so, who forwarded the said petition?
8. Was any recommendation made concerning his successor?
9. If so, by whom, and who was recommended for the position?
10. Will such recommendation be acted upon by the Government?

Mr. PATENAUDE:

1. Mr. Matthew McDonell.
2. No.
- 3, 4, and 5. See answer to No. 2.
6. No.
7. Answered by No. 6.
8. Suggestions as to a successor were made in one or two instances but were only made on condition that the department contemplated a change in the postmaster-ship.
9. In view of the fact that no petition was received for the dismissal of the postmaster and as no definite action has been taken the department does not consider it in the public interest to mention names of persons recommended or of those who made the provisional recommendation.
10. The question of the change in the postmastership has not been considered by the department.

WAR SUPPLIES—HORSES.

Mr. TOBIN:

1. Is the Government aware that a buyer named S. L. Clough, purchased about thirty horses at Richmond, Quebec, on July 4, 1916, for delivery, subject to inspection, on July 12, 1916?
2. If so, was he authorized by the Government to purchase said horses?
3. Is the Government aware that the said horses were not inspected and taken over on the said 12th July, 1916, nor at any later date?
4. If so, is it the intention of the Government to reimburse the farmers, from whom the said horses were purchased, for the time lost and expenses incurred in bringing the said horses to Richmond on the above mentioned dates?

Sir EDWARD KEMP:

1. No.
- 2, 3 and 4 answered by No. 1.