

There is commitment authority on various types of ammunition running to approximately \$16 million.

Mr. HELLYER: This is still navy?

Mr. ARMSTRONG: Yes.

Mr. HELLYER: \$16 million for transportation?

Mr. ARMSTRONG: No; ammunition.

In the army, for the various types of vehicles, there is a commitment authority of approximately \$7 million.

Mr. HELLYER: What vehicles would be included in the \$7 million.

Mr. ARMSTRONG: Some are kits for vehicles; that is, the special kits put in vehicles, and there is \$1,700,000; the various types of trailers—water trailers, \$920,000; 1½-ton panel trucks, \$500,000; various tractors, \$500,000, and a number of other miscellaneous items.

In connection with the armament equipment, there is roughly \$9 million in the army commitment authority. That, again, covers items which I think for the most part, have been mentioned as you have been going through the cash estimates.

In connection with electronic equipment, there is approximately \$23 million. The largest single item is the C-42 wireless set, on which the total is about \$11 million.

In connection with ammunition, there is approximately \$36 million. The largest items are the 22 pounder gun, in the amount of \$8 million, and the ammunition for the 7.62 rifle and the light machine gun, in the amount of about \$7½ million.

Mr. HELLYER: Is there no commitment in respect to the Honest John missile?

Mr. ARMSTRONG: I have not it specifically listed.

I might explain to the committee that in this commitment authorization there is some provision that enables a transfer of commitment authority from the main vote to the army to take care of an item such as the Honest John, to provide for orders being placed this year. However, I do not happen to have it on the list here.

In connection with the air force, the 104, \$420 million approximately.

Mr. HELLYER: What was that?

Mr. ARMSTRONG: \$420 million.

Mr. HELLYER: \$420 million; that is just for the CF-104, is it?

Mr. ARMSTRONG: That is for the 104. For the Angus, there is approximately \$7½ million; the 106, which is the long-range transport, approximately \$38 million; the Otter, \$2¼ million; the Albatross, which is the SA-16B search and rescue airplane, roughly \$7 million, and various other items of about \$7 million.

The CHAIRMAN: Is that satisfactory, Mr. Hellyer?

Mr. HELLYER: Yes. What is the total future commitment there in respect to the SAGE Bomarc system? Do you have it broken down separately?

Mr. ARMSTRONG: That comes under construction. The total program is estimated at roughly \$120 million. We have \$21 million, I think, in this year's estimates, so that the future years' commitment authority in this respect would be in the order of \$80 million to \$100 million—somewhere in that bracket.

The CHAIRMAN: Was that not in the white paper?

Mr. ARMSTRONG: Yes, I believe it is; I am trying to find it.

Mr. HELLYER: Mr. Chairman, I think this very impressive list of future commitments reinforces our argument for a re-statement of the definition of government policy in respect to defence matters. There is a great deal of equipment which is just tied to particular usages.