

That, on the final allotted day in the present supply period, the business taken up shall be the second reading, consideration in committee of the whole, report stage and third reading of the bill based upon the motion for interim supply and that, at fifteen minutes before the ordinary hour of adjournment on the said day, proceedings then in progress shall be interrupted and every question necessary for the disposal of the said bill shall be put forthwith successively, without amendment or debate;

Provided that, on both the aforementioned days, the House shall not adjourn until all business referred to in this Order is disposed of.

Pursuant to Standing Order 39(4), the following Question was made an Order of the House for a Return:

No. 3,685—*Mr. Jones*

1. How much has been loaned by CIDA to (a) francophone countries (b) colonies of France in each year 1971 to 1975 (i) for what purpose (ii) at what interest rate (iii) to which countries?

2. How much has been granted by CIDA to (a) francophone countries (b) colonies of France in each year 1971 to 1975 (i) for what purpose (ii) to which countries?

3. What was the basis and criterion followed for each loan and grant in Parts 1 and 2?

4. How much money has been loaned by CIDA to (a) anglophone countries (b) countries which are not anglophone or francophone in each year 1971 to 1975 (i) for what purpose (ii) at what interest rate (iii) to which countries?

5. How much money has been granted by CIDA to (a) anglophone countries (b) countries which are not anglophone or francophone in each year 1971 to 1975 (i) for what purpose (ii) to which countries?

6. (a) Is the President of CIDA anglophone or francophone (b) what is his (i) ethnic background (ii) mother tongue?

7. What is the (a) ethnic background (b) mother tongue (c) salary for each person in the executive and management positions of CIDA?—Sessional Paper No. 301-2/3,685.

Mr. Blais, Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council, presented,—Return to the foregoing Order.

Ordered,—That there be laid before this House a copy of the resignation from the Cabinet tendered on or about March 12, 1976, by the Minister of Public Works, and a copy of the reply of the Prime Minister thereto.—(*Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 96—Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre)*).

Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 79, as follows:

That an humble Address be presented to His Excellency praying that he will cause to be laid before this House copies of all minutes of meetings, letters, telegrams between the government and the Province of Newfoundland as it relates to federal cost sharing on the current construction programme for marine service centres,

having been called was, at the request of the honourable Member for Humber-St. George's-St. Barbe (Mr. Marshall), transferred by the Clerk to the order of "Notices of Motions (Papers)" pursuant to Standing Order 48(1).

The Order being read for the consideration of the Business of Supply:

Pursuant to Standing Order 58, Mr. Stevens, seconded by Mr. Baker (Grenville-Carleton), moved,—That this House deplores the contradictory economic policies of the present administration, which have failed to enhance the growth and stability of Canadian employment and industrial production, which have not encouraged investment in industry and which have permitted a serious decline in our competitive position in international markets.

After debate thereon, at 5.45 o'clock p.m., pursuant to Order made this day, proceedings were interrupted.

The motion standing in the name of the Honourable the President of the Treasury Board (Mr. Chrétien), having been called, as follows:

That Vote 10b, in the amount of \$298,000 of the Department of National Revenue for Taxation—Office of the Administrator—Anti-Inflation—Programme expenditures in Supplementary Estimates (B) for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1976, be concurred in.

And a point of order having been raised by the honourable Member for Edmonton West (Mr. Lambert);

RULING BY MR. SPEAKER

MR. SPEAKER: I hesitate to interrupt the honourable Member, but I think we should be clear about the terms we are using. I will permit the honourable Member to carry on in a moment. The motion to which he is referring in the name of the President of the Treasury Board is not a motion to restore an item. That would presuppose that the House had made a disposition to reduce it. The motion in the name of the President of the Treasury Board is a motion for concurrence in the item, and it is there because there is a blanket motion in the name of the President of the Treasury Board for concurrence in all of the items in the supplementary estimates. They are not listed individually, because it is not felt necessary in the practice followed by the House over many years to list the items individually unless there is a notice of opposition. Therefore, in the face of a notice of opposition, in order to accommodate that vote, the motion is separated by practice—and by no other reason—in order to see that the notice of opposition by the honourable Member is on the *Order Paper* together with a specific aspect of the general notice for concurrence. But it is not a motion to restore the item; it is a motion for concurrence. If there were notices of opposition on every item contained in the supplementary estimates, presumably the House, for the purpose of recording that, would have to separate the general, blanket, omnibus motion for concurrence by the President of the Treasury Board into each item so that it would face opposite an item of objection. But is not a motion to restore the item. A motion to restore the item could presumably