It follows from this view of the legal significance of the draft declaration that the principles should conform with the known intentions of all potential space powers. This point has to be borne in mind in considering the implications of including in the draft declaration an additional legal principle that outer space should be reserved for peaceful purposes only. There have been some suggestions that member shates should accept the same limitations on the use of outer space as they have previously done with regard to Antarctica. I take it that this analogy is intended to suggest that member states should agree to exclude weapons from outer space before weapons are devised which involve the use of outer space. I believe, however, that the situation in outer space differs from that which existed at the time that the treaty was negotiated making Antarctica an arms free area. To my knowledge, no states had weapons in Antarctica or had weapons systems which could involve the use of Antarctica if war were to break out. With regard to outer space, it is my understanding that intercontinental ballistic missiles, which represent the prime modern strategic weapon of the great powers (if they were to be used), would pass through outer space on their way to a target.

I think it is important to keep this fact in mind, so as to enable us to form a realistic judgment of the nature of the problem. I do not need to state in this Assembly that the Canadian Government favours disarmament in outer space as well as on earth. Many will be aware that the Canadian Minister of External Affairs was the first to raise, in the Eighteen-Nation Disarmament Conference on March 27, 1962, just two weeks after the opening of the conference, the possibility of states undertaking not to orbit weapons of mass destruction in outer space. The Canadian Government takes satisfaction from the unanimous passage at this very session of Resolution 1864, which has finally given effect to this which has finally given effect to this proposal. Moreover, we all favour the treaty signed in Moscow on August 5, 1963, which, among other things, banned the testing of nuclear weapons in outer and the disarmane. the testing of nuclear weapons in outer space. These are important disarmament measures, which have significantly not a space. measures, which have significantly reduced the possible means for using outer space for military numbers. space for military purposes. More still remains to be done. But, in the view of my Delegation, it is important to recognize that, in so far as intercontinental ballistic missiles are concerned, it is not a question of keeping outer space free from such weaponer. outer space free from such weapons. The problem we face is to negotiate in the appropriate forum a disarmament agreement which could have the result of limiting outer space to peaceful uses only.

outer space through the United Nations, it is because I believe that they have been adequately treated in statements already made in this Committee. I wish being made, particularly in the establishment of a world weather system and made at the Space Radiocommunications Conference convened recently in Genevament. My Government is also most interested in and following closely the telecommunications system.

Assembly that controversy attracts more attention and stimulates more interest than effective co-operation. We are this year about to take the first significant step in the development of space law. We have also noted other the co-operative use of outer space. The progress we have recorded in this session. To confirm these developments, may I express the hope that the principles submitted by the Outer Space Committee and to the draft declaration of the members of the Committee.

0

0

th

th

th

00

fI

Wh

in

