Ja.va; however, as I have elsewhere stated, I feel confident that careful breeding will prove Lecontei, confusa and contigua to be good species. In his paper on Callimorpha (Can. Ent., vol. xix., p. 237), Mr. Smith is in error in stating that I "assumed the distinctness of Lecontei and militaris." I gave militaris as a variety of Lecontei and assumed the distinctness of Lecontei and confusa, which is a very different thing, and should have aided rather than misled him. I have found hibernated specimens of Lecontei and confusa easy to rear, the latter in confinement feeding freely on almost any kind of leaf. Might not these hibernating Arctians be reared by placing them on ice, as Mr. Edwards has done so successfully with the diurnals?

F. B. CAULFIELD, Montreal. ·

Dear Sir: In reference to Dr. Hagen's recent notice of Calverley's illustrations of Sphingidæ, I would say that the plates are neither "unknown" nor "forgotten," but simply "unpublished." References to their existence may be found in my printed papers. To certain of the Dr. Hagen makes some remarks as to the figures I furnished the types. quality of the illustrations. It is perhaps not remarkable that he does not notice that many figures are copies from Cramer and Drury, and that the plate of Papilio Calverleyi is the same as published in Proc. Ent. Soc. Phil., on different paper. Copies of Calverley's Sphingidae were sent to a few principal libraries, hence it is not extraordinary that Dr. Hagen should have found one at Harvard. The work owes its inception perhaps to the zeal of the late Mr. Stephen Calverley, who was a correspondent of Doubleday. The names of its two original authors are remembered in Limenitis Weidemeyerii and Papilio Calverleyi, as well as Deilephila Calverleyi from Cuba. The text should have been written by myself, as at one time at least intended, but the plates were finished at such irregular periods and over so many years (1860 to about 1869) that they were never placed complete in my hands for the purpose.

A. R. GROTE.

ERRATUM.—On page 57 (March No.), line II from the bottom, for "vol. xix." read "vol. xx."

Mailed April 10th. Delayed by accidental loss of proofs in transmission.