

THE

JOURNAL OF EDUCATION

Devoted to Education, Literature, Science, and the Arts.

Volume XIX.

Quebec, Province of Quebec, May, 1875.

No. 5.

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

The First Steps in Teaching a Language Whipping Children McGill University In Memoriam OPPICIAL NOTICES: Diplomas Granted by Board of Examiners	67 68 75	of the Grant in Aid of Superior Education to Catholic and Protestant Institutions, 1874
of Examiners	75 75	Meteorology

The First Steps in Teaching a Language.

(Concluded).

Let us think first of Mastery. By Mastery Mr. Prendergast understands repeating a foreign sentence till one can at last give it with as much ease as its English equivalent; e. g., most English school-boys have mastered in this sense a certain portion of the French language—viz., Comment vous portez vous? But they have not mastered that expression in the same way in which a French school-boy has mastered it. To the English boy it is a more begue power to which a particular meaning it is a mere hocus-pocus, to which a particular meaning powerless in the language, until he is familiar with its is attached quite arbitrarily. To the French boy it is the main inflections. I would therefore, from the first, set natural expression of thought. The words live to the about teaching him these inflections. natural expression of thought. The words live to the About teaching him these inflections.

Here I am afraid that I shall shock many advanced about teaching him these inflections.

Here I am afraid that I shall shock many advanced innovators. What can be more absurd, they will say, than the orthodox plan of grinding pupils in the grammar must not advance till he has "mastered" the first lesson. This requirement hardly seems to me wise, for two reasons—first, because, as I have pointed out, real mastery is at this stage impossible; secondly, because beginners—young beginners especially—are anxious to get on; an if they make no visible progress, their mental activity is checked. This last is, to my mind, a fatal objection to the methods which require everything to be retained from the very beginning. The Christian is to avoid the appearance of evil, and the teacher should avoid even the appearance of stagnation. As a rule, I beleive we do not think half enough of what our pupils think. We

sometime's seem to regard them as the Strasburg people regard their geese. I am told that they deprive these geese of all liberty, and stuff food down their throats till they consider them fit for examination. The crammer who has the credit of passing a great number of geese, and the owner of the goose, who gets the pie, think this a most satisfactory system; but we have never heard the opinion of the goose. Perhaps the opinion of the goose assuredly may not. After all, when you think of it he is himself concerned to some extent in the result of your teaching; and he is perfectly well aware of this, so you cannot calculate on driving him, as a stoker drives his engine. It is not enough that he ought to learn on your system; he must feel that he is learning.

So here I find myself obliged to differ from the rapid-impressionists on the one hand, and from the total-retainers on the other. What, then, do I propose? I propose to find out where the vital organs of the language lie, and to seek to give the learner power over that part of it. My rule would be. Teach only what the beginner wants—just the essentials of the language, and do all you can to familiarize him with these essentials by presenting them to him in a variety of forms and teaching him to use them himself. But the learner is