

governments with respect to the Columbia river treaty, can the minister inform the house of the facts with respect to this intriguing question?

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. This question might be answered tonight.

EXPO '67

INQUIRY AS TO REIMBURSEMENT FOR INDIVIDUAL LOSSES UNDER LOGEXPO

On the orders of the day:

Mr. Raymond Langlois (Mégantic): Mr. Speaker, my question is directed to the Minister of Trade and Commerce. I trust it will not be answered on Wednesday; I hope to receive an answer today. The question concerns the problems that have arisen during the recess of the house with respect to the Logexpo situation, the dismissals that have been taking place and the charges of fraud that have been made. Has the government any intention, either alone or in co-operation with the Expo authorities, of reimbursing those who have lost money on reservations that have been made at Logexpo?

[Translation]

Mr. Speaker: I think this question should be put on the order paper.

[English]

Mr. Langlois (Mégantic): Mr. Speaker, I think this question is still under consideration and being debated by the Expo authorities. Therefore we should like a government opinion on the question.

[Translation]

USE OF SITE ON CONCLUSION OF EXHIBITION

On the orders of the day:

Mr. Jean-Pierre Goyer (Dollard): I wish to put a question to the Prime Minister.

Has the government reached a decision on the use of the Expo site after it is closed?

Mr. Speaker: I think this question should be put on the order paper.

[English]

HOUSE OF COMMONS

CENTENNIAL TELEVISION SERIES ON PROCESS OF PARLIAMENT

On the orders of the day:

Mr. R. Gordon L. Fairweather (Royal): Mr. Speaker, I too have a question for the Prime

Inquiries of the Ministry

Minister. By way of preface may I remind the right hon. gentleman that before the summer recess he clearly indicated to the former leader of the opposition that arrangements would be put in motion to film the proceedings of this parliament as a centennial series on the part of the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation.

May I ask the right hon. gentleman three short questions. First, where are the cameras? Second, what arrangements have been made to initiate the series? And last, why the delay?

Right Hon. L. B. Pearson (Prime Minister): Mr. Speaker, this question is under consideration now by Your Honour, and I am sure you will be glad to deal with it at the earliest possible date, not later than Friday.

BROADCASTING

INQUIRY AS TO INTRODUCTION OF NEW ACT

On the orders of the day:

Mr. David MacDonald (Prince): Mr. Speaker, I have a supplementary question for the Secretary of State or perhaps it is more a related question. In view of the considerable disquiet that has existed for many months now in the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation, I wonder how soon we may have the new Broadcasting Act brought before this house.

Hon. Judy V. LaMarsh (Secretary of State): Mr. Speaker, it is my understanding that in order to alleviate all sorts of discomfort on that side the Broadcasting Act will be seen early next week—not on Friday, Thursday or Wednesday of this week, but perhaps next week.

Mr. MacDonald (Prince): A further supplementary question, Mr. Speaker. I wonder whether the minister will be good enough also to assure all members of the house that such an important piece of legislation will be referred to the broadcasting committee after first reading.

Miss LaMarsh: Mr. Speaker, I do not think it is likely that the act will be referred back to the committee. As is known by hon. members, some six months were occupied with the question of broadcasting. It may very well be that the clause by clause study will be held in committee in substitution for the house, if that meets with the agreement of the house. However, since the matter has been gone into so exhaustively, and since the committee will be asked to deal with the question of E.T.V. almost simultaneously, it is expected that the