

Division

NAYS

Messrs:

Aitken, Miss
Allard
Anderson
Asselin
Baldwin
Barrington
Baskin
Batten
Bell (Carleton)
Bell (Saint John-Albert)
Belzile
Benidickson
Best
Boulanger
Bourbonnais
Bourget
Brassard (Chicoutimi)
Browne (St. John's West)
Browne (Vancouver-Kingsway)
Bruchesi
Brunsdon
Cadieu
Campbell
(Lambton-Kent)
Campbell (Stormont)
Campeau
Cardiff
Cardin
Carter
Casselman, Mrs.
Chambers
Charlton
Chevrier
Chown
Clancy
Clermont
Coates
Comtois
Creaghan
Crestohl
Crouse
Danforth
Denis
Deschambault
Dinsdale
Dorion
Doucett
Drysdale
Dubois
Dumas
Dupuis
English
Eudes
Fairclough, Mrs.
Fairfield
Fane
Fleming (Eglinton)
Forbes
Gillet
Green
Grenier
Gundlock
Habel
Halpenny
Hamilton (Notre Dame de Grace)
Hamilton (York West)
Hanbidge
Hardie
Harkness
Hees
Henderson
Hicks
Hodgson
Horner (Acadia)

Horner (Jasper-Edson)
Horner (The Battlefords)
Howe
Jones
Jorgenson
Jung
Keays
Kennedy
Knowles
Korchinski
Kucherepa
Lafreniere
Lambert
LaRue
Latour
Legere
Lennard
Lessard
Macdonnell
MacEwan
MacInnis
MacLean (Queens)
MacLellan
Macnaughton
MacRae
McCleave
McDonald
(Hamilton South)
McFarlane
McGrath
McGregor
McIlraith
McIntosh
McLennan
McMillan
McPhillips
McQuillan
McWilliam
Mandziuk
Martel
Martineau
Martini
Matthews
Michaud
Mitchell
Monteith (Perth)
Monteith (Verdun)
Montgomery
More
Morissette
Morris
Morton
Muir (Cape Breton North and Victoria)
Muir (Lisgar)
Murphy
Nasserden
Nixon
Nowlan
Nugent
O'Hurley
O'Leary
Ormiston
Pallett
Parizeau
Pascoe
Paul
Payne
Pickersgill
Pigeon
Pugh
Racine
Rapp
Ratelle
Regnier
Ricard

Richard (Ottawa East)
Richard (St. Maurice-Lafleche)
Roberge
Rogers
Rompre
Rowe
Simpson
Skoreyko
Slogan
Small
Smallwood
Smith (Calgary South)
Smith (Lincoln)
Smith (Winnipeg North)
Southam
Speakman

Starr
Stearns
Stefanson
Tassé
Taylor
Thompson
Thrasher
Tremblay
Tucker
Valade
Villeneuve
Walker
Webb
Webster
Weichel
Winkler
Wratten—180.

Mr. J. H. Horner (Acadia): Mr. Speaker, we have just witnessed a demonstration of some of the measures that may be used if the new party or the C.C.F. ever came to power, a new idea of closure. The last time this subject was debated hon. members of that group indicated that they wanted more information on the subject, that they needed certain particulars dealing with the export of the product concerned, yet today they move to close the debate so that it could not be re-opened in this house. Is that a proper procedure to adopt? Is that the way to obtain further information on the subject? Is it wise to attempt to banish this measure from the House of Commons? If that is the type of thing hon. gentlemen of that group would do if they were in power I certainly would not like it and I know that many other Canadians also would object.

This bill seeks to incorporate the Aurora Pipe Line Company which would then apply to the national energy board for permission to export condensates. Perhaps no hon. member is able to give all the details sought by the hon. member for Skeena (Mr. Howard) but that hon. gentleman after saying that he wanted exact details now seeks to close the debate. If this measure is passed it can go to a committee where the hon. gentleman may ask questions on every particular to his heart's content.

Last Tuesday the hon. member for Vancouver East (Mr. Winch) set out quite clearly the percentage of Canadian ownership of the company and its exact makeup. Certainly that question should be answered in the minds of the hon. members of the C.C.F. group.

The hon. member for Winnipeg South (Mr. Chown) in his opening remarks in introducing this bill stated that the Aurora Pipe Line Company would export its product into an area where no Canadian crude oil or condensates are now going. He mentioned that Pembina Pipe Line Limited, a large company in western Canada owned by the Foothills Company of western Alberta actually planned to build a pipe line with a potential capacity of exporting 100,000 barrels a day and an

[Mr. Howard.]