

would be dealt with, for an offender could be tried at any time. Let no one be present but those directly interested—judge, attorneys, witnesses, etc. Then there would be no desire to entertain, and a person would get a fair trial. There would be no reason for calling a case unless there were an offence, so justice could be dealt to all alike.

If this change in the manner of the court is not affected, we think it would be well if the senate would abolish the courts altogether. Yours truly,

JUSTICE.

*Editor Queen's University Journal:*

Dear Sir:—The missionary addresses some of us have had occasion to hear of late, have reminded us that in foreign missions at least interest is sadly lacking at Queen's. This lack of interest may perhaps be attributed, in some degree, to the fact that foreign missionary work is looked upon as an effort to force upon people with types of mind and life differing from ours, a stereotyped religion that once suited our civilization, but which we are now beginning to outgrow. This conception is altogether wrong. Neither is missionary work a forcing of our religion upon other peoples, the proselytizing spirit is quickly dying out; nor is the religion the missionary takes with him a stereotyped religion. Of course it was not Jesus' desire to have His name sounded with a flourish of trumpets throughout the world. Such tawdry vainglory is not consistent with His character. He wanted to do good, to give people something that would lighten their burdens, and this he found in sympathy. But even if this was His message, he surely did not mean that His followers are to go

out and servilely preach the very doctrines He preaches and tag His name on to them. Imitation is not the highest praise. Christ wanted His disciples to go about doing good and to carry to others, messages vital with their own experiences, and missionaries on foreign fields as well as preachers at home are becoming more imbued with that spirit. They are less and less engaged in repeating parrot-like certain phrases that have been taught and repeated until the spirit in them is nearly dead. On the contrary they are as a whole sincere, earnest men who think they have found a message that will help mankind and who wish to spread it abroad. Should any think that those now in the work have not the right message, they should not therefore deny the value of all missionary effort, rather should they go and carry their own messages abroad. The reason why most preachers preach Christianity is that they sincerely believe Christ's message to be the most helpful, give it any name you please, and not because they are bound down by tradition to glorify a mere name. But surely we at Queen's should not find fault with the associating of a name with a movement. A bare abstract ideal does not appeal to the average man. It is when that ideal is found pulsating in a man like the late Principal Grant that it fills us with thrills of enthusiasm. There is a chance that the name *Grant* and the phrase *Queen's spirit* may degenerate, as the name *Christian* has unfortunately to some extent degenerated, into mere fetishes, God forbid! The very name of George Grant should be sufficient to save it from such a fate. And the name of Christ should also mean for