it to say that it is quite opposed to the visws of Koch. "Coma bacilli," they find, are not peculiar to the cholera process, being found also in other intestinal affections; they are not present in the ileum in cholera in 'almost a pare cultivation;" they do not behave differently from other putrefactive organisms tivated; and they do not communicate cholera to rabbits, cats, or monkeys, when introduced into the circulation or intestinal canal. Other experimenters, as professors Finkler and Prior, of Bonn, and Klebs of Zuric, had arrived at a similar conclusion, and last month, in a paper published by Dr. Emmerick of Munich, the author ignores the coma bacillus as the cause of Cholera.

As opposed to these investigators, however, we find that at a meeting of the London, (Eng.) Medical Society, Jan. 12, '85, Dr. Heron showed specimens, under the microscope, of the coma bacillus, and of the Finkler-Prior bacillus, and drew attention to the fact that there exists a striking resemblence between the two bacilli when examined in this way. Dr. Heron also showed specimens of these two bacteria, growing in nutritive gelatine and upon prepared potatoes, and he pointed out the striking differences which distinguish their respective modes of growth. The differences in growing in nutritive gelatine and upon potatoes are so marked as to make it easy for anyone to tell at a glance to which category either one of the growths belongs. These two facts, namely: the resemblance of form under the microscope, and the pronounced difference which distinguishes the growths of the two bacilli in artificial cultivation, emphasize, Dr. Heron said, the importance of bearing in mind that mere resemblance in form is not sufficient to justify the assertions that .wo organisms are one and the same. The bacillus of Finkler and Prior, is evidently as stated, a putrefactive organism, "as anyone can ascertain for himself by the use of

CHARGE CONTRACTOR OF THE SELECTION OF THE SECURITY SECTION OF THE SECURITY SECURI

a marked degree in its mode of growth in arsificial cultivation from the coma bacillus, the assertion of Drs. Klein and Gibbes (of the English Cholera Com.), that the latter "does not behave in any way differently from the other putrefactive organisms" is not correct.

A good suggestion was made by Dr. Heron, that there should be some way of diagnosing with certainty any case of choleraespecially when an epidemic threatened, and that some medical men ought to be trained to be at once able to apply the test in doubt-The Finkler-Prior bacillus, known ful cases. to be a putrefactive organism, is associated with cholera nostras-ordinary English cholers, and was believed upon its discovery to be identical with the coma bacillus of Kock. It is probable that Koch will soon be heard from in reference to these opposed views. He has significantly observed, it is said, that two years ago, representations similar to these now made in relation to his coma bacillus were made in relation to the bacillus of tubercle. Truly, as we not long ago observed, there is much yet to be learned in connection with contagiums.

"THE AGE OF MELANCHOLY," is the heading of a long leading article in a recent number of the London (Eng.) Medical Times and Gazette, and in its decadency it sends up a wail of despair:

'Were it not better not to be. Than live so full of misery?"

to tell at a glance to which category either one of the growths belongs. These two facts, namely: the resemblance of form under the nuicroscope, and the pronounced difference which distinguishes the growths of the two bacilli in artificial cultivation, emphasize, Dr. Heron said, the importance of bearing in mind that mere resemblance in form is not sufficient to justify the assertions that wo organisms are one and the same. The bacillus of Finkler and Prior, is evidently as stated, a putrefactive organism, "as anyone can ascertain for himself by the use of his sense of smell," and as it differs in such