## THE SONG OF OTHER DAYS

*[Poem text largely illegible]*

## THE FLOWER GIRL AND NAPOLEON.

### A ROMANCE OF ST. HELENA.

At St. Helena, when the weather was favourable, Napoleon used to go out, either in his carriage or on horseback, but as he had become familiar with the confined space allotted to them, he often preferred exploring the neighbouring country...

*[Much of the article text is too degraded to read reliably.]*

"I wish to take home with me a souvenir of my first visit to your cottage. Gather some of your best flowers, and make a grand bouquet."

Henrietta quickly made his bouquet, and when Napoleon gave her five or six d'ors for it, she cried with astonishment—

*[text illegible]*

"Do not let that trouble you," answered Napoleon, smiling, "you will come and fetch them."

*[text illegible]*

## LOUIS NAPOLEON.

The Editor of the Paris *Pays* gives to his readers the following striking, and we think, truthful portrait of the Emperor Louis Napoleon:—

"His face, inert and passive in appearance, is nothing more than the task of an active and ardent interior life. His eyes seem extinguished, but they are as deep as the thoughts into which they sometimes plunge and which spring up to their orbit, as flames rise from the spot where it is kindled. His forehead is sombre as fatality, but it is vast in conception. His lips are pale, but they are fine, delicate, discreet, slightly apart—just enough to allow passage to the brief and precise expression of a will that has reflected and decided. His words are slow, indolent, and drawling, but they are sure of themselves, and this apparent indifference is the only excess of confidence. Audacity, veiled by timidity; revolution dissembled by mildness; indomitability redeemed by goodness; life under marble, fire beneath the ashes—in short, something of Augustus and of Titus under the features of Werter, that type of German revenge—such appears to us Louis Napoleon Bonaparte."

The portrait, drawn from nature, renders the whole man intelligible. The opposite opinions formed of him are thus justified. We can understand how one man conscientiously denies the intellectual superiority of Louis Napoleon, and how another exalts it almost to fanaticism. Louis Napoleon is a superior man, but of that sort of superiority that lies under a doubtful exterior. His life is wholly inward. His speech does not betray his inspiration, his look does not reflect his ardour; his guilt does not reveal his resolution. His whole moral nature, so to speak, is restrained by his physical nature. He thinks, but does not di—