

entrées dans les livres et se les était appropriées sans aucun compte, pour un montant de \$946.56.

L'action est en dommages pour \$900, représentant le salaire du demandeur jusqu'à la fin de son engagement.

La défenderesse prétend que vu les défalcatons du demandeur elle avait le droit de le renvoyer sans avis.

La Cour supérieure a rejeté l'action par les motifs suivants :

" Considering that by resolution of the Board of Directors of the company defendant passed on the 7th February, 1909, the plaintiff had been appointed, with one Alphonse Rhéaume, to constitute an executive Board for the general administration of the affairs of the company defendant, the plaintiff being named manager of the stoves department and Alphonse Rhéaume, manager of the foundry department ;

" Considering that by resolution of the said Board passed on the 22nd November, 1909, it was resolved to pay to plaintiff as member of the executive Board the salary of \$20 par week up to the 7th February, 1910, the date of the general meeting of the shareholders of the company ;

" Considering that on the 4th February, 1910, at said general meeting, the plaintiff was reengaged for another year and on the 18th April, 1910, by resolution of the said Board of Directors, the salary of the plaintiff was fixed at the sum of \$25 per week the first of November 1909 ;

" Considering that in virtue of said resolution, the plaintiff has worked in the defendant's employ, as manager, since the 7th February, 1909, and his engagement has been continued for another year by tacit reconduction to the 4th February, 1911 ;

" Considering that the engagement of the plaintiff,