



Mugwump
ED
By WERTHMANN Journal

Did you notice the editorial on the previous page re the SRC and their unwillingness to take care of our interests? This whole thing stemmed from my comment in last week's Mugwump Journal. Remember that thing about one SRC hopeful with whom I had a little chat about student affairs? No, I won't disclose the name of that person, for there is no need, for he lost anyway. I would venture to say, in fact, that if you asked any of our so-called representatives about student affairs, you would probably get the same response as I did from that one particular turkey.

Now, I hate to be redundant—and you might be wondering why I'm bringing this thing up again this week. The fact is that I'm not really chewing the same 'taters again. This issue involves much more than just one apathetic candidate or bigoted SRC rep. What I'm getting at (and I'm sure there are many others who feel the same way) is the whole scope of Student Government and how it should be run as opposed to how it is presently being run.

The editorial states quite clearly on how the paper feels about the situation, and what should be done. That's quite a few people right there who are showing concern over what the students of today are experiencing.

We ARE faced with the issues of student aid, housing, quality of education, course evaluation and so on. And what Jim Smith and the other ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES doing about these things? That's all too much to ask for one government? Bull! I want to see a government that concerns itself with these issues on behalf of each and every student.

That's not the end of it, either. It's bad enough when our SRCers ignore important issues. But look how they screw us up and down with the money we put into the Organization. (It's certainly isn't a Union) My god!! Who dreamed up and who is running that dandy CSL? What a mess that turned out to be. Think about it folks—that's \$50,000 down the tubes. From Ray Clark to Montreal Weekend to Blood, Sweat and Tears to, finally, BINGO!! Imagine that! It only costs \$50,000 to create a company that will make bingo a reality at UNB. Bah! Humbug! That whole CSL thing gave me an upset stomach ever since it started this year.

To top everything else, I find just a few too many bigoted, regressive personalities in that hallowed hallway betwixt CHSR and da Bruns. And what makes it so gross is the fact that there are members of the SRC of origin other than white anglo-saxon protestant. I wonder if they know what goes on behind their backs.

Look folks—I'm not pretending to be the "Great Crusader" or anything of that nature. What I am concerned about is my own future; not in a material sense—but in what type of society in which I have to spend the rest of my life.

Sure, I know nothing will change overnight, let alone within the span of an average college career. But "progressiveness" is a thing that develops. This is the point of my whole argument. If we have a bunch of turkeys running the show for us students, does that mean that we have to spend the rest of our lives in a turkey society? How does the average person react to that? If you knew or at least had a strong suspicion that those who represent us were a bunch of foolish and/or bigoted and/or misguided individuals that were ever thrown together, how would you react?

Me? I'm an agitator if there ever was one. Look at this, I'm devoting my whole column to this thing. And I feel I am duty-bound to bring this to you, the readers and publishers of this paper.

It's not only MY SRC. It's yours too. It is what you make it. If the issues that face students all over the country (indeed, all over the world) do not concern you—well—fine! Let YOUR government go ahead and waste your money (all \$200,000 of it) on things that will do you no good in the long run. (Last I heard—CSL is losing on bingo as well!!) Especially since CHSR has a lot of equipment that is in dire need of up-grading and has suffered a cut in budget. (As did every other USEFUL student organization—including us.)

I can tell you (and I guess it's not big secret) that I'm pretty upset with our SRC. Although I'm not alone on this, I still feel a little uneasy about lashing out at our financiers and government. Who knows?! They just might try to close down the Brunswickan!!

Hello Gene!! Do I seem a little upset?
Of course, that's silly!!

Condoms meet rigid standards

Dear Editor:

That does it! Now I must write this letter. Several weeks ago there was a letter to the editor concerning the ad for condoms. I certainly don't deny anyone's right to complain, but I do object to myths being perpetuated. No. 1 myth: "condoms break all the time." My back was up but being a busy week I put off replying.

Then in the November 5th issue, in the Mugwump Journal, I found another remark (meant to be amusing I'm sure) on the ineffectiveness of the condom. "We all know they (prophylactics) don't work."

I wonder how many are aware that until the pill came into its own—not very long ago—the condom was the most widely used form of contraception. Recently laws have been passed protecting the condom buyer. All condoms must meet rigid standards.

Religious acceptance not the point

Dear Editor:

In response to the letter entitled Great News Not So Great that appeared in last week's Bruns, I would like to clarify some of the objectives toward which the Inter-Varsity Christian Fellowship was striving when we carried out the New Testament distribution.

Contrary to the opinion expressed by the author of the afore-mentioned article, our goal was not to see the recipients of the Great News "accept our religion" simply because they were given a copy of the Bible. Rather, we were trying to present our fellow students with a highly reliable and yet readable translation of a book which describes a unique and radical lifestyle characterized by genuine love, compassion, and concern for one another.

We in IVCF are not theologically simplistic enough to think that becoming committed to Christ and His teachings will erase all of our problems and concerns. Neither do we wish to promote the widely held misconception that sincere followers of Jesus Christ have to permanently put their intellect and inquiring mind to rest in order to blindly accept simplistic Christian viewpoints.

We hope that students will read their copies of the Great News and evaluate its content as objectively and as open-mindedly as possible. Our goal in doing the distribution was not so much to "evangelize" as it was to present students with the documented basis of a meaningful lifestyle which we believe can provide a viable alternative to the one which is normally accepted without question.

Richard Manuel
President - UNB Chapter IVCF

The reason there may be a failure with a condom is that men often don't know how to properly use them. First, a condom must be placed on the penis before first entry. Several thousand sperm are often released long before ejaculation occurs. Secondly, there must be an empty space left at the top of the condom (without air) to absorb the pressure of the ejaculation. Thirdly, withdrawal should occur soon after ejaculation and rim of condom should be held onto to prevent spillage. Finally, a condom should be only

used once.

I only have two more points concerning the condom. One, the condom is the only protection there is against venereal disease, which is prevalent on the UNB campus. And two, the condom used in conjunction with a spermicide (foam or jelly) is as effective as the pill (without the side-effects). The condom can and does work.

Donna G. Mouzard
Coordinator
Family Planning Fredericton

Inhuman exam standards for Bio

Ed.'s Note: This letter came to us last week—but due to the lack of space, The Brunswickan could not print it. So here it is—well worth reading. And our apologies to the author.

Dear Editor:

This week all Biology 1000 students on this campus have experienced a disgusting set of circumstances which only go to show just how inhuman we supposedly are. Many of us have prepared for our mid-term for some weeks and have put considerable amount of time into it. We knew the mid-term would consist of 50 multiple choice questions but when the examination time finally arrived we were horrified to find that the exam was to be displayed on an over-head projector. As there was approximately five questions per transparency this meant the continual shifting of transparencies to display them all. Obviously each student is going to think at their own rate which, to the great inconvenience of all of us, meant the shifting upwards of one overhead so the top of the alternate next one could be displayed.

There were many students, like myself, who just wanted to sit-down and get the mid-term finished to the best of our knowledge. To have to concentrate on a variety of choices dangling from the ceiling is difficult enough (bloody near impossible) but to have the added frustration of everytime you look up the question has shifted position is ridiculous.

I think it is time the Ph.D.'s who design our exams used some common sense and human compassion. Is it really that difficult for them to remember what their first year at university was like? To grasp the fundamentals of any subject is not often easy and a student usually needs every break they can get. To examine students via computers is bad enough but to expect them to be able to behave like machines is unwarranted and unnecessary.

I would, however, like to thank The Dean of Students, Barry Thompson, for lending me his understanding on this subject and I ask all professors to give their students a fair chance to display their knowledge.

Yours Sincerely,
\$6,000,000 student

NOTICE

To all contributors of da Bruns

This is to advise all our regular contributors of news, sports, inside and feature copy that the Brunswickan has now established a rigid deadline for all articles, classifieds, letters, etc. The deadline is Tuesdays at 5:30 p.m., sharp!!

In the past, we have usually and gracefully accepted copy well past actual production time. This practice has proved to confuse and otherwise create such havoc within the normal production procedure. It is because of this that we feel we must stress to all our correspondents that this

deadline be abided.

Articles on events slated for Wednesdays will, of course, be accepted for publication of the same week. But if an article comes in past late Wednesday night; well—it will have to wait. [Unless it's something real juicy!!!]

And don't forget! We welcome anybody or anything! If you have any ideas that you would like to have in print, don't overlook us!—The Brunswickan: Room 35, SUB.

toodle-loooo