

little crib in the Commis-
of the Pacific Survey. We learn
the *Times* that his accounts in
ter office are in a terrible mess.
t the berth some two years and
ago, and the *Times* tells us that
then, though he and a couple of
stantants have been nearly the
e of the time struggling to trace
balance, there are some SIXTY
USAND DOLLARS even yet that are
her to be found in cash to the Gov-
ment credit nor accounted for by
hers." This accounts for the fre-
y of Mr. Wallace's visits to Ot-
these last two years. In
matter we await further do-
ments, but meantime we
ily endorse the sentiments of
Times when it says, "It is the
y of the electors of South Norfolk
ompel Mr. Wallace to show them
clean slate in his Pacific Survey
enditure before they make him one
hat Parliament which may be yet
led upon to sit in enquiry upon his
k as paymaster." It would be
esting to know whether these
ling discoveries in the cash ac-
ts at Ottawa had any connection
the unusual abundance of bank
in South Norfolk during Mr.
ace's last election.

nce the foregoing was in type ad-
nal light has been thrown on Mr.
lace's doings at Ottawa by the
wing article in the *Daily Globe*:

THE OPPOSITION CANDIDATE FOR SOUTH NORFOLK.

Mr. W. Wallace represented South
folk in the last Dominion Parlia-
t, but was not a candidate for re-
ction last January. Mr. John
urt having been unseated, Mr. Wal-
is now a candidate in opposition to
gentleman. We cannot sufficien-
xpress our surprise at this last men-
ed fact. Mr. Wallace became pay-
ter on the Intercolonial Railway in
uary, 1869, and occupied that posi-
till January, 1871, at a salary of
00 per annum. From May 15th,
1, to July 31st, 1872, he was em-
ed as paymaster to the Canada
ific Railway survey for the eastern
sion. In the latter capacity his
ies was to purchase supplies, as well
ay the salaries of the staff employ-

the entries prove he received on ac-
count of salary \$2,251. Against this
amount, he is credited with salary from
15th May, '71, to June 30th, '72—thir-
teen and one-half months, at \$150 per
month, to the amount of \$2,025, and
cash advanced in October, 1871, and
January and June, 1872, \$564 14.—
This shows a balance due to him on
June 30th, 1872, of \$338 14. On ac-
count of this was paid up to November,
1872, \$335 33, leaving a balance in
his favour of \$2 81. Subsequent en-
tries at different dates up to February,
1873, show him to have received on
the same salary account \$750, and he
acknowledges, we understand, other
payments, which do not appear in his
ledger, to the amount of \$1,025 76.
This shows an amount overdrawn, and
after he had left the service, of \$1,-
775 76, which, after deducting the bal-
ance in his favour, leaves him debtor
for \$1,772 95. A great many entries
prove that the salary fixed upon was
what we have already mentioned. So
many months, for instance, are again
and again mentioned, at \$150 per
month, in the following style:—

1872, Mar. 31st, Salary from
1st January to 31st March,
3 months, at \$150.....\$450 00
June 30th, Salary account
from 1st April to 30th
June, 3 months, at \$150.... 450 00

A subsequent entry, however, writ-
ten in pencil, sets down the salary at
\$200 per month, as if there had been
an endeavor to square the account for
salary more nearly than was other-
wise possible. Even at the higher
figure, however, it is evident he had
paid himself more than even he be-
lieved was due, by the sum of \$897 95.
This may be said to be a small mat-
ter compared with the large deficien-
cy otherwise apparent in Mr. W.'s
accounts; and so it is. Still it is not
creditable to him as a man of honor
and a man of business that there
should be such entries at all.

These facts tell their own story.
They require no commentary of ours.
With such things against him it is
surely imprudent in Mr. Wallace to
appear as a candidate at all, and it
would be passing strange indeed if
the electors of South Norfolk should
think of putting him into the impor-
tant position of their representative
in the Commons of Canada. We can
only repeat our former expression of
astonishment that he should have ap-
peared in the field, and that Mr.
Aquilla Walsh, who must know the
facts, should stand sponsor for him."