kite-

ryilm afible th refpreution. d atdocand ed to f and doc-Thefe wever from fame Surely blurd e any y may fet of naves, for as e they

e they bedion of a man nd all himement ble to what ts, it e any educe

> nced, opifh rench : Bri-That him, congood (aith

(53)

" faith in which he glories, " p. 44. The king of England, on the other hand, declares, " That after the conclusion of the "feparate peace, he will never defif from giving constant fuccear " to the king of Prussia, with efficacy and good faith." p. 53. Now, whether the good faith of the king of France, or of the king of Great Britain, is most to be relied on, under a Tory administration, the world will easily judge from the preliminaries.

It feens, that British faith in the year 1762 made as glorious a figure, as she did in the year 1712, just half a century before. Every good Englishman will certainly with, it may never make a worse appearance in Europe than it does at prefent; and defire, that it may always make as much better as our power and strength will admit. We see several respectable names in the preamble to the treaty of London in 1756, and the same at the head of the preliminaries in 1762. The figure they make there for integrity, and good faith will never be forgotten, as long as bistory preferves her memorials.

But, it fhould be observed by the curious reader, that the empress queen is a natural ally of France; and that the king of *Pruffia*, being a Protestant, is a power with whom we have no interesting connexions; for *Pruffia* is at a great distance from us, and we want no troops from, allies on, nor connexions with, the continent. We are nouns substantives, and can land by ourselves. What is Portugal to us? Portugal is on the contiment; but we want no connexions with the continent. We might see Portugal a deluge of blood, and one scene of carnage, without any other emotions, interest or concern, but what might arise from compassion to their sufferings, as being fellow creatures. What a fine system of politics imported first from Scotland, in the reign of James the first, and at length adopted by a S-ts m-n-fler for the welfare of Britain !

Now, let the reader judge, which is the chafteft nymph, British faith, or French faith. Whether that our faith flinks over Europe, or French faith. He will be able to judge, without the wildom of Solomon. By the end of the war, perhaps we may make as glorious a figure for good faith, as we did at the peace of Utrecht.

Before I conclude, I cannot help observing a notable difference, between the conduct of the French, and that of the English, in point of good faith. When the French break their treaties with their friends, it is to ferve theim felores. But whenever the English do it, it is to ferve their enemics. When the French violate their

* See the Confiderations on the German war, where this argument is advanced.

faith.