dinning into their ears the fact that Darwin's theory deals only with the evolution of plants and animals from a common ancestry. It is not concerned with the origin of life itself, nor with those conditions preceding life which are covered by the general term, Inorganic Evolution. Therefore, it forms but a very small part of the general theory of the origin of the earth and other bodies, "as the sand by the seashore innumerable," that fill the infinite spaces.

It is Evolution in General, both the concept and the word, that we owe to Mr. Spencer; and Mr. Clodd's book brings into strong relief the actual relations existing in this respect between Herbert Spencer himself and his pre-

decessors or contemporaries.

the

such

of

it to

way

ther

n of

ning

thus

had

Mr.

lose

1 is

sted

WD.

tion

rely

rect

rom

sted

ave

and

ises

win

ints

ped

inal

ent,

on,

ese

lay,

rles

one

ded

, in

nan

asp

up

ary

ept

was

the

we

ory

ven

der

has

vith

en,"

ssly

The genesis of the idea in his own mind Mr. Spencer has illustrated by a series of extracts from his original volume of Essays, published previously to The Origin of Species, and therefore necessarily independent of any Darwinian impulse. The series of extracts thus selected he has permitted Mr. Clodd to print entire; and with them the abstract supplied to Professor Youmans. These summaries I will not still further summarise; it must suffice here to note, for the benefit of those who have never considered dates in this matter, that the chronology of the subject is roughly as follows. In 1859 (almost 1860, for it was in the end of November) Darwin brought out The Before that period Origin of Species. Mr. Spencer had published (among others) the following distinctly evolutionary works :- In 1850, Social Statics, in which the idea of Human Evolution was clearly foreshadowed. In 1852, an article in the Leader on "The Development Hypothesis" (from which I have quoted a passage already), where the Evolution of Species of Plants and Animals was definitely set forth. 1854, an article in the British Quarterly Review, on "The Genesis of Science," where Intellectual Evolution was dis-In 1855, The tinctly mapped out. Principles of Psychology (first form), | friends.

where Mental Evolution is fully formulated, and the development of animals from a common origin implied at every step. In 1857, an article in the Westminster Review on "Progress, its Law and Cause," where the conception of Evolution at Large was finally attained (though not quite in the full form which it afterwards assumed). From all of these, but especially the last, grew up the idea of the System of Synthetic Philosophy, the first programme of which was drawn up in January, 1858, nearly two years before the appearance of The Origin of Thus, so far is it from being Species. true that Mr. Spencer is a disciple of Darwin, that he had actually arrived at the idea of Organic Evolution, and of Evolution in General, including Cosmic Evolution, Planetary Evolution, Geological Evolution, Organic Evolution, Human Evolution, Psychological Evolution, Sociological Evolution, and Linguistic Evolution, before Darwin had published one word upon the subject.

To some people, in saying all this, I may seem to be trying to belittle You do not Not at all. Darwin. belittle a great man by giving him full credit for what he did, and none for what he did not do. You do not belittle Virgil by showing that he was not the powerful magician the Middle Ages thought him; nor do you belittle Bacon by proving that he did not write Othello and Hamlet. Nobody has a greater respect for Bacon, I believe, than Dr. Abbott; but Dr. Abbott does not think respect for Bacon compels him to father Macbeth and Julius Casar upon the author of the Novum Organum. Nobody has a greater respect for Darwin than I have; but I do not think that that respect compels me to credit Darwin with having originated the ideas due to Lamarck and to Herbert Spencer. Nay, more; I have so deep a respect for the work Darwin actually performed that I consider it quite unnecessary to filch from others in order to enrich him. He can well do without such disloyal Indeed, it is Mr. Samuel