

SWINE INFLUENZA IMMUNIZATION CAMPAIGN

Question No. 1,261—**Mr. Yewchuk:**

In 1976 and 1977 were lawsuits brought against the government after the launching of the swine influenza immunization campaign and the subsequent reported cases of Guillain-Barré Syndrome and, if so (a) how many (b) what was the claim in each case (c) what was the total amount paid to claimants?

Hon. Monique Bégin (Minister of National Health and Welfare): No. (a) Not applicable; (b) Not applicable; (c) Not applicable.

PERMANENT EXHIBIT "THE SURVIVAL OF THE ACADIANS"

Question No. 1,300—**Mr. Jones:**

1. What is the cost of the permanent exhibit "The Survival of the Acadians" to be?
2. Were tenders called for the construction of this display, and if so, give details?
3. Will persons of the Maritime region be allowed to contribute to this exhibit and if so, what form will their contributions take?
4. How many persons or organizations have offered assistance to this project and what is the nature of their offers?

Hon. James Hugh Faulkner (Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development): 1 and 2. The exhibit is still at a preliminary stage of development. Costs have not yet been determined, nor have tenders been called.

3. It is hoped that interested historical societies, New Brunswick government resource persons, the Memramcook Institute and University of Moncton and any private individuals who so wish, will contribute to this project. Their contribution will provide Parks Canada with the necessary historical information relating to the Acadian story, and may assist in the locating of appropriate artifacts for the exhibit. At this early stage, the form which these anticipated contributions will take cannot be identified with any greater precision.

4. To date, the Memramcook Institute and officials of the University of Moncton have offered assistance in identifying potential sources for historical information. Further contacts will be made with interested agencies and individuals as work progresses.

1976 STORM IN NOVA SCOTIA

Question No. 1,328—**Miss Campbell:**

Were funds provided by the government through the Emergency Measures Organization for the February 2, 1976 storm in Nova Scotia to (a) municipal corporations (b) private companies (c) private individuals for the Counties of (i) Annapolis (ii) Digby (iii) Yarmouth (iv) Shelburne and, if so, in each case, how much?

Mr. Yvon Pinard (Parliamentary Secretary to President of Privy Council): During 1976 the Federal Government made an advance payment to the Province of Nova Scotia of \$3,000,000. Subsequently, in August 1977 Emergency Plan-

Motions for Papers

ning Canada passed a final claim based on the disaster assistance formula to the Department of Finance for approval and audit. This claim included:

(a) Municipal Corporations (Public Sector)		
City of Halifax		\$ 9,289.22
City of Dartmouth		6,399.24
Municipality of Clare		405.00
Town of Lunenburg		1,500.00
Town of Stewiacke		505.06
Town of Kentville		8,881.50
Town of Shelburne		9,366.00
Town of Yarmouth		6,614.00
Town of Lockeport		434.00
Village of Weymouth		99.85
Granville Ferry Water Commission		1,200.00
Yarmouth County Tourist Association		4,565.00
Total		\$49,258.87
(b) Private Sector		
(i) General		
Total value of claims paid		\$938,082.37
Number of claims submitted	1,097	
Number of claims paid	176	
Average value of claims paid	\$5,330.01	
(ii) Fisheries		
Total value of claims paid		\$1,862,613.37
Number of claims submitted	880	
Number of claims paid	816	
Average value of claims paid	\$2,282.61	
(c) Payments to individuals and private companies were made by the province after reviewing their claims. The whole claim is now under the audit procedure and details of individual payments are not available at this stage of the audit.		

[English]

Mr. Speaker: The questions enumerated by the parliamentary secretary have been answered. Shall the remaining questions be allowed to stand?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

* * *

[Translation]

MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

Mr. Yvon Pinard (Parliamentary Secretary to President of Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, notice of motion for the production of papers No. 61 is acceptable to the government, subject to the usual reservations relating to confidential documents and authorization by the governmental authorities concerned.

Mr. Speaker: Is it the pleasure of the House that notice of motion for the production of papers No. 61 be deemed to be adopted?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.