

### Order Paper Questions

to regulation of the hotel operations of railways. The information is, therefore, not available.

### NATIONAL DEFENCE—CANADIAN AND BRITISH DEFENCE POLICY

#### Question No. 2,045—Mr. McKinnon:

Will British troops be using a training area near Suffield, Alberta, in 1973 and, if so (a) how many acres are involved (b) how many British troops will there be (c) how much rent is being paid by the British?

**Hon. James Richardson (Minister of National Defence):** Yes; (a), (b) and (c) See reply to question 579 by Mrs. MacInnis (Vancouver-Kingsway), as recorded at page 2928 in *Hansard*, dated June 7, 1972.

### POST OFFICE, LYNDBURST, ONTARIO

#### Question No. 2,051—Mr. Cossitt:

1. Has the Post Office at Lyndhurst, Ontario been moved recently and, if so, for what reason?
2. Is the new location temporary or permanent and where is it?
3. Is the government giving consideration to the construction of a new Post Office in Lyndhurst, Ontario?

**Hon. André Ouellet (Postmaster General):** 1. Yes, on 21 May 1973. Larger premises were required.

2. The premises were leased for five years and the terms of the lease contain a renewal option. They are located at the corner of Charles and Jonas Streets.

3. No.

### APPOINTMENT OF HON. PATRICK MAHONEY

#### Question No. 2,141—Mr. Cossitt:

1. Has Mr. Patrick Mahoney received any appointment from the government since October 30, 1972 and, if so (a) on what date was this appointment made (b) what was the nature of the appointment (c) what is the salary being received?
2. What were the considerations involved in making any appointment and was one of these considerations that Mr. Mahoney was a former Liberal Member of Parliament and a former member of the Cabinet?

**Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister):** 1. Hon. Patrick Mahoney is on a contract for the period 1 February, 1973-31 August, 1973 to provide assistance in preparation for the Conference on Western Economic Opportunities at a monthly fee of \$2,500 to \$3,000.

2. Consideration involved the overall suitability of the individual's professional, academic and personal qualifications in relation to the job requirement.

[Mr. Marchand (Langelier).]

### QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS FOR RETURNS

#### REVENUE RECEIVED FROM CANADA-RUSSIA HOCKEY SERIES

#### Question No. 228—Mr. Mazankowski:

1. What was the total revenue received from the communications media for the Canada-Russia hockey series (a) in Canada (b) in Russia?
2. What was the total revenue received from all other sources for the Canada-Russia hockey series (a) in Canada (b) in Russia?
3. What is the itemized distribution of such revenue?
4. What is the itemized total government expenditure concerning the Canada-Russia series?

Return tabled.

#### OVERTIME PAY TO MEMBERS OF MINISTERIAL STAFF, 1972

#### Question No. 926—Mr. Knight:

1. What were the names of employees on the ministerial staff (including the Office of the Prime Minister) who, in the calendar year 1972, received over-time pay in excess of \$1,000?
2. What were the amounts of over-time pay in each case and what were the salary ranges of each of these employees?

Return tabled.

#### DEPARTMENT OF SUPPLY AND SERVICES—TENDERING

#### Question No. 997—Mr. McKinnon:

1. By year, how many contracts have been let to German & Milne (G&M) Montreal during the past ten years?
2. How many contracts listed in Part 1 were let without tendering procedures?
3. How many firms are now listed in the Department of Supply and Services' source list as acceptable ship designers and what are their names?

Return tabled.

#### FISHING ON PACIFIC COAST

#### Question No. 1,047—Mr. Barnett:

1. Since September 1, 1968 (a) for how many fishing vessels on the Pacific Coast has a construction subsidy been paid (b) to whom were subsidies paid, for which vessels, and in what amounts?
2. How many owners of fishing vessels on the Pacific Coast who sold to the "Buy-Back Program" (a) now hold a commercial salmon fishing licence (b) have received a construction subsidy for a new fishing vessel?
3. How many Indians held commercial salmon fishing licences in (a) 1968 (b) 1972?

Return tabled.

#### FISHING BAN AS A RESULT OF MERCURY POLLUTION

#### Question No. 1,656—Mr. Harding:

1. What rivers and lakes have been banned for fishing due to mercury pollution and, in each case, for what period of time?
2. What is the estimated loss in employment and fishing revenue due to the above ban?
3. In each case, what has been the source of the mercury pollution?