

Hon. Mr. HAYDEN: So that as fast as anything may come out that is designed or advertised for a purpose that is not included in your list, you can add to the list?

Dr. MORRELL: Well, that is not the intention, of course, but that could be done.

Hon. Mr. HAYDEN: It could be done. But how is it possible to market any food, drug or cosmetic and advertise it without infringing on one or other of those words, "treatment, preventative or cure"?

Dr. MORRELL: A great deal of it is done now.

Hon. Mr. HAYDEN: Give me an illustration.

Dr. MORRELL: Let us say aspirin. A lot of aspirin is advertised over the radio.

Hon. Mr. HAYDEN: It is advertised for the relief of pain.

Dr. MORRELL: Well, I think it might go further than that.

Hon. Mr. HAYDEN: But let us take it that that is one of the things that they do say—relief of pain. Would not that come within the description, "treatment, preventative or cure"?

Dr. MORRELL: No.

Hon. Mr. ROEBUCK: It is a treatment.

Hon. Mr. HAYDEN: It is a treatment, is it not?

Dr. MORRELL: Yes, it is a treatment for—

Mr. CURRAN: You are talking now about Schedule A? You are not talking about diseases in general?

Hon. Mr. HAYDEN: No, I am only dealing with those that are on the schedule. Should I advertise any food, drug, cosmetic or device to the public that it will relieve in relation to any of the diseases that are listed, that would be a violation of this act.

Dr. MORRELL: Yes, although we do allow the advertising of salicylates for the relief of rheumatic pains. Rheumatism is not on; arthritis is.

Mr. CURRAN: No, arthritis is not a scheduled disease.

Dr. MORRELL: That is right.

Hon. Mr. ROEBUCK: If it is for the relief of pain—and I suppose all these diseases on your list involve pain—therefore it is a treatment of these diseases, and why is it not banned?

Dr. MORRELL: It is not a treatment of a disease, sir.

Hon. Mr. HAYDEN: If I say that this product, this drug or this food will relieve pains resulting from—and then I mention one of the diseases in Schedule A, then I will promptly be checked by Dr. Morrell and Mr. Curran, individually or collectively, and be told that I have violated the statute. Now is not that right?

Dr. MORRELL: Yes, that is right.

Hon. Mr. HAYDEN: If I say in the abstract, relief of pain, I am all right?

Hon. Mr. ROEBUCK: If you add "relief of all diseases", you are "in the jug" again.

Hon. Mr. HAYDEN: You would have to say "relief of pain other than the diseases contained in Schedule A"?

Dr. MORRELL: I think you could do better than that.

Hon. Mr. HAYDEN: That is getting to a bit of an absurdity, is it not?

Dr. MORRELL: It has not worked out that way, I don't think.