

a municipal, cultural, and community of interests area with part of the proposed riding of York East.

9. That the three recommendations contained herein constitute a better geographical, municipal, cultural and community of interests integration of the general area, minimizes the movement of people from the former ridings to the newly proposed ridings and corresponds to the electoral quota for the Province of Ontario.

10. That the proposed riding name of Broadview-Greenwood be named Broadview to more accurately describe the constituency and to avoid confusion.

11. And such other objections that the undersigned members may consider applicable in order to carry out the spirit and terms and conditions of the Act in question.

*Signatures of Members:*

J. Gilbert (Broadview)  
 S. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre)  
 L. Nystrom (Yorkton-Melville)  
 M. Saltsman (Waterloo-Cambridge)  
 D. Orlikow (Winnipeg North)  
 W. Firth (Northwest Territories)  
 T. C. Douglas (Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands)  
 A. Hogan (Cape Breton-East Richmond)  
 A. Peters (Timiskaming)  
 L. G. Benjamin (Regina-Lake Centre)  
 J. E. Broadbent (Oshawa-Whitby)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

I would like to raise objection to the recent Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission Tabled in the House February as it applies to the riding of London West.

On October 14, 1975, I appeared before the Federal Boundaries Commission, and my concern then, as now, revolves around approaching this matter with as little disruption as possible.

In order to achieve the population balance for both London West and London East, I would propose a minor westerly shift in the boundary in the north and with no change in the southern section.

I would like to add that these changes will have no effect on the boundaries of the surrounding ridings.

Both, Mr. Turner, the Member from London East and myself, consider this change to the Commission's proposal to be within the criterion of the Commission.

*Signatures of Members:*

J. Buchanan (London West)  
 L. Condon (Middlesex-London-Lambton)  
 C. Douglas (Bruce-Grey)  
 J. Flynn (Kitchener)

C. Turner (London East)  
 F. Philbrook (Halton)  
 Wm. Andres (Lincoln)  
 M. MacGuigan (Windsor-Walkerville)  
 M. Raines (Burnaby-Seymour)  
 R. Young (Niagara Falls)  
 G. MacFarlane (Hamilton Mountain)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

1. The Commission failed to give adequate attention and consideration to geographical, political, and demographic factors when planning the redistribution of the riding of Essex-Windsor and Essex-Kent, factors which are specifically provided for by subsections 13c (i) and 13c (ii) of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act to be included in the terms of reference of the Commission.

2. The Town of Harrow and the Townships of Colchester North and South have traditionally been associated with the municipalities to the West namely Amherstburg, Anderton and Malden and with the City of Windsor and they have had little association with the municipalities to the East.

3. The Commission, by making this change, has failed to give the residents of the municipalities of Harrow, Colchester North and South an opportunity to express their views on the proposal and we have received several expressions of concern in this regard.

4. The Commission has failed to give sufficient weight to the geographical size of the proposed riding of Essex-Kent resulting in a configuration which will make it difficult for the residents of the municipalities of Harrow, Colchester North and Colchester South to receive adequate representation.

5. And such other objections as the undersigned members may consider valid in order to respect the spirit, terms and conditions above mentioned.

*Signatures of Members:*

E. F. Whelan (Essex-Windsor)  
 G. MacFarlane (Hamilton Mountain)  
 J.-J. Blais (Nipissing)  
 K. Penner (Thunder Bay)  
 V. Railton (Welland)  
 G. Parent (St. Catharines)  
 E. Lumley (Stormont-Dundas)  
 J. Flynn (Kitchener)  
 A. Martin (Scarborough West)  
 R. Milne (Peel-Dufferin-Simcoe)  
 D. Ethier (Glengarry-Prescott-Russell)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this