

tee was not from year to year redeemed but shamefully kept open for 18 years, during which Mr. Ryland was stripped of the whole of his property, besides two valuable policies of life Assurance, (on one of which he had paid £1500,) pledged to enable him to carry on the duties of his office, those amounts should have been taken into the account as forming a portion of his expenditure, in carrying out the arrangement between Lord Sydenham and himself.

It is ever difficult to follow a man who argues in a circle. As regards Mr. Fortescue's Statement, Mr. Ryland has not attempted to do so further than to prove that whatever effect it may have had on the House as carrying with it the impress of official authority, it is altogether unsupported by the essential evidence of facts, whilst the statement made by Mr. Gregory remains unshaken and incapable of refutation. Might maketh not right and though Mr. Ryland's appeal for justice has for a moment been silenced, he has too much faith in the honour of the Duke of Newcastle, as well as the integrity of Mr. Fortescue to believe that when they severally have looked into his case they will allow themselves to be biased by Mr. Merivale or any other party to the further prejudice of one whose misfortune it has been to rely too absolutely on the justice of the British Government.