s lament that e have been gled the saus-, thai, in mato the utriost nor and ineermit them to ritish Crown! lushingly and n stipulations isfactory condvances" they lvances have territory, and hat they are uire into the have, to be re upon our re a wanton

of the House I have not ring upon the hould fatigue w that it is ernment say, ations on our and I think e must be sadone? Shall in the quiet our property, our part to mely submit l of our proing the plunnich the legarust not. 11 rit which has haracter. It nity disgrace-

ot, I am come

ve go to war? and marking mpts to take ess to be the and unnecesulties which , I have not ure proposed ppy a result. e question is a deterraine vernment, to se Minne to agree upon econd dunhe will delay ul relations us would be in could re**t il, w**l-en i

ssage, holl 1:

is that which regret that the

people of the United States must look back upon the abortive efforts made by the Executive, for a period of more than half a contury, to desermine, what no nation should suffer long to remain in dispue, the true line which divides its possessions from those of other powers. The nature of the settlements on the borders of the United States, and of the neighboring territory, was for a season such, that this, perhaps, was not indispensable to a fathital performance of the duties of the Federal Government. Time has, lowever, changed this state of things, and has brought about a condition of alfairs, in which the true interests of both countries inperatively require that this question should be put at rest. It is not to be disguised that, with full confidence, often expressed, in the desire of the British Government to terminate it, we are apparently as far from its adjustment as wowere at the time of signing the treaty of peace in 1783. The sole result of long pending negotiations, and a perplexing arbitration, appears to be a conviction, on input, that a conventional line must be adopted, from the impossibility of ascertaining the true one according to the description contained in that treaty. Without coinciding in this opinion, which is not thought to be well founded, my predecessor gave the strongest proof of the earnest desire of the Britest States to terminate, satisfactorily, this dispute, by proposing the substitution of a conventional line, if the consent of the Sinter Interested in the question could be obtained. To this proposition no answer has as yet been received. The attention of the British Government has, however, been urgently invited to the subject, and its reply cannet, I am confident, be much longer delayed. The general relations between Great Britain and the United States are of the most friendly character, and I am well satisfied of the slucere disposition of that Government to main than than upon their present looting. This disposition has also, I am persuaded, become more general with the pe people of the United States must look back upon the abortive [efforts made by the Executive, for a period of more than half

In this, the President manifests that friendship for

Maine, regard for her interests, and mild but firm purpose to maintain them, which has ever characterized his course upon this subject, in the several capacities in which he has been called to act. The London Times, of December 27th, understands the message as we do. In regard to that part of it touching this subject, it holds the following language: "From the tone and spirit of so much of the message now alluded to as is connected with this New Brunswick controversy, it appears natural to predict that it will not be suffered by the present Government of the United States to remain much longer unsettled." The President says that no nation should long suffer its boundaries to remain in dispute. Does Congress doubt this? or will they cooperate with him in that action which the truth of such a position demands? He says the true interests of both countries imperatively require that this question should be put at rest. Will Congress say it should be kept open? or will they unite their efforts with these of the Executive to put it to rest? He says he does not coincide in the opinion of the British Government, that this boundary line cannot be run according to the treaty of 1783, and that a conventional line must be adopted. Will Congress sustain him in this? or will they tell us to negotiate and make the best bargain we can? I trust not. The time has arrived when some decisive step should be taken. Let there be union, energy, and firmness among the different branches of the Government upon this subject; let them manifest the determination to submit to nothing wrong, as well as to ask for nothing but what is right, and this long-vexed question will be terminated and settled forthwith.