

Non-Canadian Publications

● (1820)

And the result of the vote having been announced:

Mr. Baker (Grenville-Carleton): Mr. Speaker, I think that inadvertently I was counted twice. I do not want to overdo my welcome among my colleagues. I think that I was counted twice because I shifted from my seat. The first vote only should be counted.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. To answer the point raised by the hon. member for Grenville-Carleton (Mr. Baker), I am sure it will be quite easy to verify, by checking the record, whether or not a vote or two was accidentally cast on my left. We can certainly check that. Because of the figures announced it is obvious, in any event, that no inaccurate result has been recorded or intended, I am sure. Accordingly, we can check the record and make sure that *Hansard* accurately reflects the votes cast.

[Translation]

Mr. Prud'homme: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member for Saint-Denis on a point of order.

Mr. Prud'homme: Mr. Speaker, I would like to raise a point of order, since it is our right to do so. We should make sure that the hon. member who has just said how he voted is really the only one who has voted in this way. But, unless I am mistaken, it seems to me there are many hon. members in the same situation and I would like them to tell the House how they voted in the division we have just taken. I think it may be a good idea to check right away what will appear tomorrow in *Hansard*.

[English]

Mr. Mazankowski: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order, in response to the hon. member's remarks. I think that you, Mr. Speaker, explained the position clearly. I think the record will signify how my vote was cast, and my first vote is the right one.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, while you are dealing with that point of order, will you tell the House what you intend to do about the hon. member for Mississauga (Mr. Abbott) who walked out in the middle of the taking of the vote on the amendment to motion No. 7?

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I was hoping that neither matter would be brought to the attention of the House. The fact is that it appears that a number of members to my left have voted twice. I hope the House will agree that, if after verification it is shown that some hon. members voted a second time, they did so inadvertently, as they explained to the House. It is their first vote of the two which, I take it, shall apply. That does not in any way change the result.

With respect to the point of order involving the hon. member for Mississauga (Mr. Abbott), his action clearly contravened a custom and rule of the House, namely, one

[Mr. Speaker.]

which says that no hon. member shall rise or move about during the taking of a vote. It is abundantly clear that during the taking of the vote on the amendment to motion No. 7 the hon. member for Mississauga rose while the yeas were being counted. He resumed his seat during the taking of the votes against the amendment, then rose and left the Chamber. His actions clearly contravene the rules. Again, and fortunately for the House, that does not in any way interfere with the results of the vote and, for the moment, perhaps the best thing would be for the Chair to take under advisement the question as to whether any action ought to be taken in respect of the actions of the hon. member.

Mr. Baldwin: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. With regard to the next two votes on motions 8 and 9 it has been generally understood that these motions are tied in with No. 7. We hoped to show our support for the amendments and displeasure with the bill on division, and wish to dispose of that part of the bill without calling for a recorded vote.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. Is it the sense of the House that, a not uncomplicated result having been obtained on motion No. 7, motion No. 8 shall be deemed to be lost on division and that motion No. 9 shall be deemed to be lost on division? Does the House agree?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Motion No. 8 (Mr. Friesen) negated.

Motion No. 9 (Mr. Friesen) negated.

Mr. Speaker: There is before the House the further deferred division on motion No. 11 in the name of the hon. member for Fraser Valley West (Mr. Wenman). Shall the same result obtain as for the other two motions?

An. hon. Member: No.

Mr. Speaker: The question is on motion No. 11.

The House divided on motion No. 11 (Mr. Wenman) which was negated on the following division:

(Division No. 108)

YEAS
MESSRS.

Alexander	Hnatyshyn	Muir
Baker	Holt (Mrs.)	Munro
(Grenville-Carleton)	Hurlburt	(Esquimalt-Saanich)
Baldwin	Jarvis	Murta
Balfour	Johnston	Neil
Beatty	Jones	Nielsen
Cadieu	Kempling	Oberle
Clarke	Knowles	O'Sullivan
(Vancouver Quadra)	(Norfolk-Halifax)	Paproski
Coates	Korchinski	Roche
Cossitt	Lambert	Rynard
Crouse	(Edmonton West)	Schellenberger
Dinsdale	Lawrence	Scott
Elzinga	MacLean	Smith
Epp	Malone	(Churchill)
Forrestall	Masniuk	Stanfield
Friesen	Mazankowski	Stewart
Hamilton	McCleave	(Marquette)
(Qu'Appelle-Moose	McGrath	Towers
Mountain)	McKenzie	Wenman
Hamilton	McKinley	Whittaker
(Swift Current-	McKinnon	Woolliams
Maple Creek)	Mitges	Yewchuk—58.