

## SHIPBUILDING IN CANADA.

House in Committee of Supply.

*Burnham, J. H.* (Peterborough West)—1617.

We cannot hope for the lowering of freights unless we have a marine of our own which we can control, 1617-18.

*Pugsley, Hon. Wm.* (St. John City)—1616.

Thinks it lamentable that the Government has not taken steps towards the encouragement of shipbuilding in Canada, 1617.

## SHIPMENT OF SYRUP FROM WEST INDIES.

Inquiry.—*Sir Wilfrid Laurier*, 1762.

## SHIPMENT OF SYRUP FROM WEST INDIES.

Information given as to service of subsidized steamers.—*Mr. Hazen*, 1809.

## SHIPMENT OF SYRUP FROM WEST INDIES.

Complaint of Quebec merchants.—*Sir Wilfrid Laurier*, 1970.

*Foster, Sir George* (Minister of Trade and Commerce)—1970.

I think the company is doing everything it possibly can in the way of carrying what offers, and also in the way of distributing it as far as it possibly can so as to aid each class of its patrons and avoid filling up the vessels with the produce of one particular class only, 1971.

*Laurier, Sir Wilfrid* (Quebec East)—1970.

The complaint was that the vessels of that line will carry sugar, but not syrups and molasses, as sugar is a more convenient and profitable cargo, 1970.

## SELECT STANDING COMMITTEES.

Report presented.—*Sir Robert Borden*, 148-151.

## SIGNAL LIGHT ON PARLIAMENT BUILDING.

Announcement.—*Sir Robert Borden*, 739.

## SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION.

Motion:

For a copy of all letters, telegrams, offers, tenders, reports, contracts and documents relating to the quantity of small arms ammunition in stock in Canada on August 4, 1914, and as to the increase or decrease in quantity of the same; and as to the sale or other disposal of said ammunition or any part thereof since that time.—*Mr. Macdonald*, 2302.

## SOLDIER'S EQUIPMENT.

Reference and remarks.—*Sir Wilfrid Laurier*, 590.

## SOLDIERS' PENSIONS.

Motion:

A copy of the pension list in force in Canada for disabled soldiers and of all petitions, letters or other documents relating to the amendment readjustment of the same.—*Mr. Macdonald*, 1654.

*Borden, Sir Robert* (Prime Minister)—1659.

The subject of pensions has been under the consideration of the Government for some time past, and probably it would have been taken up at an earlier date had it not been that I thought it desirable,—as this motion has been standing for some time upon the Order Paper,—that we should hear the views of hon. gentlemen before the Government took any definite or final action on the whole subject, 1659-60. Canada, Great Britain and Australia pensions compared, 1660. As to securing a method by which political influence of any kind, or pressure of an undesirable character might have no scope or effect, I am entirely at one with my hon. friend from Pictou, and I think that it is eminently important, 1661. With respect to soldiers' homes, I really have not had that matter brought very much to my personal attention. My knowledge of what is being done in this country, and, indeed, on the other side of the ocean, is almost wholly confined to the so-called convalescent homes, 1662. The report from the Pensions and Claims Board in Great Britain suggests a more elaborate scheme of analysis of the degrees of disablement. I think they divided them into six degrees by percentages, 1663.

*Glass, Frank S.* (Middlesex East)—1665.

A great deal can be done for the comfort and happiness of soldiers who have been wounded or incapacitated in various ways, by a system of technical training that will enable them to be useful in some vocation, 1665-6.

*Macdonald, E. M.* (Pictou)—1654.

There are certain anomalies in connection with this pension list which have attracted attention throughout the country. While I concede that we must make some distinction between a private and an officer, I submit that the difference in pension allowed under the present arrangement is entirely too great, and that the pensions to these two classes ought to be brought closer together, as in Australia. Comparison of pensions given, 1655-6. Soldiers homes referred to, 1657-8.

*Nesbitt, E. W.* (Oxford North)—1663.

In reference to the pension scheme, I think the pension for the unmarried man who is totally disabled is slightly too low, and I would suggest raising it to a dollar a day, or \$30 a month, and allotting the other pensions in proportion, 1664.

*Oliver, Hon. Frank* (Edmonton)—1658.

Thinks pensions should be dealt with through a pension board and two features of care of returned soldiers referred to, 1658-9.