

having five or more members. If a tour is set up, we will say, to a reserve in Saskatchewan it may be that a group of five members, as initially established, are going to Saskatchewan, but one or two of them may find they are involved in another committee. Are we going to have flexibility so that other two members of the whole Committee can take their place?

The VICE-CHAIRMAN: There is no reason why this cannot be done. It is not necessary that they all come from Saskatchewan, in the first place.

Mr. BARNETT: No; I am talking about a subcommittee going to Saskatchewan.

The VICE-CHAIRMAN: That is what I mean. Members from—

Mr. BARNETT: No; I mean if other members could go.

The VICE-CHAIRMAN: Oh, I see what you are getting at.

Mr. BALDWIN: We are subject to the same rules as the House. Three out of five constitutes a quorum, in any event.

The VICE-CHAIRMAN: Yes; I see what you mean.

Mr. BARNETT: In other words, if the steering committee comes in with three or four panels of five members each, as an initial proposal, I think it should be balanced more or less according to—

Mr. BADANAI: It is up to the members themselves to agree.

The VICE-CHAIRMAN: Yes.

Mr. BARNETT: I would like to see for example, on the subcommittee visiting British Columbia, at least two, or three, or possibly four, out of the five who are members from parts of Canada other than British Columbia.

The VICE-CHAIRMAN: Has anybody got any special days in mind for the one-day trips?

Mr. PRUD'HOMME: Mr. Chairman, the simplest to arrange would be Montreal. Some of us are to be there on Wednesday the 22nd and on the 23rd, and 24th. I am a member of the committee on citizenship and immigration. Perhaps we could make it Tuesday the 21st?

Mr. WATSON (*Châteauguay-Huntingdon-Laprairie*): Could you ask, Mr. Chairman, how many members of this committee are members of the immigration committee?

The VICE-CHAIRMAN: Yes. How many are members of the immigration committee? There are seven.

Mr. PRUD'HOMME: Seven out of twelve.

The VICE-CHAIRMAN: That is a very good suggestion. Would it be all right then, to make it Tuesday the 21st? We can do something about—

Mr. WATSON (*Châteauguay-Huntingdon-Laprairie*): Mr. Chairman, I do not know for how many people I speak, but I personally am not very favourable to being on the immigration committee. I think the only reason for my being on it was because we were on Indian affairs. I do not know how many other people are in the same boat. I wonder if there was any possibility of having some