

COOPERATION; OF ACTING, AS PRESCRIBED IN THE BERLIN MANDATE, IN "GOOD FAITH". THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS, WE HAVE FULLY PARTICIPATED AND GIVEN OUR POSITIONS: FIRST OF ALL ON OUR RESPONSIBILITIES, ALL OF US AS PARTIES, IN THE CALL OF THE BERLIN MANDATE FOR US, ALL OF US, TO ADVANCE IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF EXSITING COMMITMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 4.1, BASED ON THE PRINCIPLE OF COMMON BUT DIFFERENTIATED RESPONSIBILITIES AND GIVEN THE THRUST OF THE PROTOCOL, WHICH IS, ALLOW ME TO RECALL, MR. CHAIRMAN, STRENGTHENING THE ADEQUACY OF COMMITMENTS OF ANNEX I PARTIES UNDER ARTICLE 4.2 (A) AND (B) OF THE CONVENTION, THERE ARE TO BE NO NEW COMMITMENTS FOR NON-ANNEX I PARTIES.

WE WAITED FOR THOSE COMMITTED TO TAKE THE LEAD, BY VIRTUE OF THE FOCUS OF THE BERLIN MANDATE. SOME OF THEM, TO THEIR CREDIT, DID SO. OTHERS STAYED BEHIND A PLETHORA OF RHETORIC.

WITHOUT SPECIFIC TARGETS, MR. CHAIRMAN, THEY TALK OF "FLEXIBILITY" FOR WHAT? "DIFFERENTIATION" IN WHAT?

IN THE MEANWHILE, THE GROUP OF 77 AND CHINA PUT ON THE TABLE THEIR CONCERNS, AGAIN UNDER THE PRINCIPLE OF COMMON BUT DIFFERENTIATED RESPONSIBILITIES, THAT IN ADOPTING POLICIES AND MEASURES, THE IMPACTS ON DEVELOPING COUNTRIES BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT, AS STATED IN ARTICLES 4.8, 4.9 AND 4.10, AGAIN AS PROVIDED FOR IN THE BERLIN MANDATE. DEVELOPING