

- a ban on all mobile missiles, and on new types and modernization of heavy ICBMs.
- the American offer does not address directly the question of SDI research, but American and Soviet differences regarding research which is permissible within the bounds of the ABM Treaty continue to differ. As in the analysis of the Soviet proposal, this issue is not addressed here.

Analysis

The following questions are addressed below:

- a. What would be the effect on the ballistic missile forces of the respective sides if the American force reductions were accepted without modernization?
- b. What is the effect of the proposed American ban on mobile ICBMs?

Summary

- c. What are the implications of the American bomber ceilings?
- d. What are the issues implied in the American LRINF proposal?
- e. Finally, what are the crucial areas of differences between the American and Soviet proposal?

Table 10 demonstrates a plausible draw down of American forces to the proposed ceiling of 4500. It assumes that the US would continue to place most of its ballistic missile warheads on submarines, and reduces the triad of strategic forces accordingly. It will be noted again that ICBMs constitute only 30% of present American strategic ballistic warheads while SLBMs constitute 70% .