

some unknown complex indwelling called the Ego, but that wondrous spiritual essence, of which our Lord said, "What shall a man give in exchange for his soul?" is unknown in this evolution theory.

I entirely concur in your conclusion that there are many monomaniacs in the world, and perhaps in no class of men do we find so large a proportion as among the experts in the various branches of learning. The extraordinary deductions and conclusions ignorantly presented by them from entirely insufficient data, cause their evidence to be largely rejected in toto. In our courts such testimony is, in civil and criminal matters, ever accepted with grave doubts and questionings, and is always subject to the rational conclusion of a jury and qualified by the saner mind of the judge. As it is in everyday matters, so it is also in the region of science, except that in the latter case their conclusions are more absurd, as it is more difficult to follow and contradict them.

I do not know that you are not justified in bringing ridicule to bear upon this subject. One of the finest pieces of satire that we have, is where the Prophet Isaiah laughs at the poor heathen who, with care fashions a piece of wood into his god, and takes what is left of it and puts it into the fire and cooks therewith his meal.

There is certainly more or less of humour in your statement, that, if it is admitted that men and women are evolved from apes, they came from the lazy, ne'er-do-weel class which, lolling about a good deal, more speedily wore off their tails and thus, removing a large difficulty in their arrival at manhood and womanhood, man became an inferior, good-for-nothing race. But I think your idea is very far-fetched, "that monkeys in