

To t

every pious mind, and intolerable as the predicament of the conscientious Episcopalian must sometimes be found, when he finds himself compelled to prostitute the symbols of the body and blood of Christ to persons who, *he knows*, are eating and drinking their own condemnation,—still, the minister of religion has no *right* to stand between a man and his temporal interests,—to deprive him of his post, or it may be, his subsistence,—his honourable promotion, or his hard-earned pension.—How flagitious soever may be the man's mortal character, his services deserve from the country for which he has fought, their just reward. Were he, in voluntary, gratuitous hypocrisy, to offer himself as a communicant at the Lord's table, it would be highly fitting that the minister should have the discretionary power of repelling him; but when he comes at the command of the Legislature, to perform a right which the church has procured to be made a condition of secular benefit,—if he be the sinner, who is the tempter? Surely the church has no right to punish him for the crime which it in a manner necessitates."