

2) highly unlikely, the Board should so instruct.

(2) That I believed Mr. Close's article, if reduced appreciably in length, might appear as a "Letter to the Editor."

(3) That if it did so appear, Mr. Pitts should be afforded an opportunity to reply.

My reasons for the above recommendations were:

(1) That if the script appeared as an article, even though under Mr. Close's signature, "The McGill News" and its Editor would, at least tacitly, be in the position of giving Mr. Close's remarks a measure of endorsement.

(2) But as Mr. Close is a graduate of the University and a member in good standing of the Graduates' Society (I checked this point), and as "The McGill News" is the organ of the Society, it seemed to me that his point of view, though not ~~that~~ acceptable to the Board, might be entitled to some consideration.