

3. In each case, what were the results of the investigation and what were the criteria used by the Department regarding further action in the courts?

4. How many complaints did the Department send to the Department of Justice for prosecution?

5. How many prosecutions did the Department of Justice undertake and, in each case, what was the result?

Return tabled.

PUBLIC WORKS—CONTRACT COVERING CONSTRUCTION OF POSTAL STATION VANIER

Question No. 474—Mr. Paproski:

1. Were tenders called for contract 9C-05735 covering construction of Postal Station Vanier and, if so (a) what was the number of bids received (b) what was the highest and what was the lowest (c) what bid was accepted (d) how was the notice of tender publicized?

2. If no tenders were submitted, for what reason?

3. Who was awarded the contract?

4. If the Department of Public Works executed the contract (a) what was the cost of (i) architectural supervision (ii) engineering supervision (iii) excavation (iv) foundation (v) super structure roofing contractor and concrete supplier (vi) masonry construction (vii) interior divisions plastering and interior finish partition (viii) heating and air conditioning (ix) electrical wiring (x) plumbing and heating (b) by whom was same done?

5. Who was the bonding company for sub contracts?

6. With whom was the insurance placed for sub trades?

Return tabled.

PUBLIC WORKS—CONTRACT COVERING ALTERATIONS TO LANGUAGE CENTRE, CARSON'S ROAD

Question No. 477—Mr. Paproski:

1. Were tenders called for contract OC-00154 covering alterations to Building "D", Phase 2, The Language Centre, Carson's Road, and, if so (a) what was the number of bids received (b) what was the highest and what was the lowest (c) what bid was accepted (d) how was the notice of tender publicized?

2. If no tenders were submitted, for what reason?

3. Who was awarded the contract?

4. If the Department of Public Works executed the contract (a) what was the cost of (i) architectural supervision (ii) engineering supervision (iii) excavation (iv) foundation (v) super structure roofing contractor and concrete supplier (vi) masonry construction (vii) interior divisions plastering and interior finish partition (viii) heating and air conditioning (ix) electrical wiring (x) plumbing and heating (b) by whom was same done?

5. Who was the bonding company for sub contracts?

6. With whom was the insurance placed for sub trades?

Return tabled.

[Translation]

MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

Mr. J. A. Jerome (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, notice of motion No.

Motions for Papers

172 is acceptable to the government subject to the usual reservations concerning confidential documents and to the authorization of the governments concerned.

[English]

Mr. Speaker: Subject to the reservations and conditions expressed by the Parliamentary Secretary is it the pleasure of the House that motion 172 be deemed to have been adopted?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

SOUTHEY, SASKATCHEWAN—MAIL SERVICE CONTRACT

Motion No. 172—Mr. Burton:

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of all correspondence and other documents concerning the tender and contract for mail service on R.R.1, Southey, Saskatchewan, and other correspondence and documents concerning the operation of said mail service since its inception.

Motion agreed to.

[Translation]

Mr. Jerome: Mr. Speaker, would you be so kind as to call notices of motions Nos. 11, 14, 15, 19, 41, 73, 81, 94, 109, 110 and 165?

[English]

PRIMARY DISTRIBUTORS OF GOVERNMENT OF CANADA SECURITIES

Motion No. 11—Mr. Saltsman:

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of a list of (a) the approximately 200 primary distributors of Government of Canada marketable securities, and (b) the primary distributors who are at present eligible to enter into purchase and resale agreements with the Bank of Canada, as mentioned in answer to Question Number 65 and reported in *Hansard* of December 17, 1969, at page 2067.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, I move the motion on behalf of the hon. member.

Mr. P. M. Mahoney (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Finance): Mr. Speaker, in reply to a similar motion during the last session it was explained that publication of these lists as at a certain date could lead to unfair reflection on a firm which happened to be omitted at that particular time, that it could be prejudicial to the banker-client relationship involved and that it was not considered in the public interest to publish the lists requested. In the circumstances, it is hoped that the hon. member will agree to withdraw the motion.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Transfer for debate.

Mr. Speaker: Transferred for debate.

AUTOMOBILE MANUFACTURERS—CORRESPONDENCE PROVIDED BY UNITED STATES ON ANTI-COMBINE MATTERS

Motion No. 14—Mr. Saltsman:

That an humble Address be presented to His Excellency praying that he will cause to be laid before this House copies of all correspondence, memoranda, etc., provided to the Department of