| | | Official Committee | | | National Committee | | |
|-----|--|--------------------|--|--|---|--|---|
| | Summary of Recommendations | Approve | Reject | Abstain | Approve | Reject | Abstain |
| | | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| 43. | All these activities be arranged so as to encourage the development of a Canadian information and forecasting industry to which the two ministries named above should give high priority. | | 2 | 24 | 77 | 4 | 19 |
| 44. | The Ministry of Science and Technology review all scholarship and predoctoral fellowship programs sponsored by the Canadian government in light of projected QSE requirements for the 1970s, mainly in the technological sectors, including social engineering and business management, and with the view of eliminating emerging surpluses in certain areas and scarcities in others; and | | 100 Miles | no nomine were nomine care i kili care i beli | process to m southful on notterior of obligano ball yepa | tentar : so ban noo lan an 25 s sbal 3o ban ; | north broad nobri a ball trem |
| | | | 5 | 19 | 70 | 14 | 16 |
| 45. | The Ministry develop a program in co-operation with the Public Service Commission and the Treasury Board to facilitate the mobility of R&D personnel within the government and between universities, industry and public agencies, with special emphasis on transfers from government to industry. | | - bine soft shii softe softe | School of School | of State to o given real creation of creation intogration termulogic | e zainily d zgotae odi zgot ke zodzo bas san | toff .0 |
| | | | 3 | 8 | 90 | 1 | 9 |

On October 30 and 31, 1972, SCITEC held a conference on science policy in Ottawa. The main purpose of the meeting was to discuss the specific recommendations of Volume 2. The general conclusions were published in the February 1973 issue of *Science Forum* under the title: "The White Paper: the recommendations resulting from the forum."

While the conference agreed with the Committee's objectives, it expressed its concern "at the complex arbitrary organizational structures proposed in the report . . ." and made a plea for the status quo. It is intriguing to note this "dynamic conservative" reaction which is in sharp contrast with the two surveys SCITEC carried out. This is all the more surprising since it is reasonable to assume that the majority of those attending the forum had been included in the official and national samples. The only plausible explanation is that scientists, engineers and technologists speak with two voices, one for private reactions, one for public. The White Paper is reproduced here.