

A big working group on agenda item 3 assumed the hope of the Norwegian delegation that it would be possible to begin substantive negotiations on this question. However, in view of the new circumstances, doubts arose as to the sincerity and ability of the delegations of certain nuclear-weapon States members of the Committee and their willingness to embark on genuine negotiations.

The opinion in the United States on the recent decision of the United States Administration not to resume the bilateral negotiations on the complete and general prohibition of nuclear weapon tests, which they had broken off, can only go to another of the decisions -- the decision not to ratify the Partial Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty agreements signed in 1970 and 1973 on the limitation of nuclear-explosive tests and nuclear explosions for peaceful purposes. It is perfectly obvious that the United States does not wish to show willingness to negotiate with a view to drawing up an international legal instrument on a total nuclear weapon test ban. This is the only explanation for the attitude of the present United States administration on this question.

The recent formal declarations by France and China of their refusal to participate in negotiations in the new Ad Hoc Working Group have caused general concern. The positions adopted on this issue by certain other States are also well known to us. Thus, on this question a situation is emerging which is similar to the from the past.

We should like to believe that the Ad Hoc Working Group on a Nuclear Test Ban will be able to do some useful work during the short time that remains of its session. We consider that in future this group should deal seriously with questions of substance. In this connection, the provision and conclusion of a comprehensive and universal system of non-proliferation of weapons of mass destruction is extremely important.

It is well known to all that the Soviet Union and other Socialist countries as well as many non-aligned and neutral countries are in favour of the complete and general prohibition of nuclear weapon tests by all States. It is only natural that

we take up a position pointing that it is not only important to strengthen the 1963 Moscow Treaty, by adding to more universality, but also apparently necessary to elaborate and implement a comprehensive solution in this field.

The Hungarian delegation shares the opinion of the majority of the members of the Committee, including a majority of Western delegations, that the negotiations in the Ad Hoc Working Group of specialists, technical and political groups should focus primarily on the technical side of the issue, but should be given at the same time a political and legal orientation in order to facilitate the drawing up of an international instrument of a comprehensive general nuclear test ban. This is why we have on the agenda item 4 on the working group

Please allow me now to make some comments on behalf of the Norwegian delegation on agenda item 4.

During the present period of time, our Government is determined to pay particular attention to the creation of the institution of nuclear weapons, one of the most pressing ones in the sphere of the limitation of the use of these weapons. First of all, I should like to refer to the vigorous activity of the Working Group under the chairmanship of Dr. Klaus G. Dausch of the Ambassador of Poland, Chairman Dr. Soltész. A considerable number of formal and informal meetings and many consultations have been held, and in addition eight working contact groups have been