

posed box-like piles which are now in a fair way to disgrace our neighbors in the eyes of European nations.

Gentlemen, let us also be severe in architectnre, to the extent at least of not allowing it to assume, as it is bidding fair to do in Ottawa, tee phase of what may be called "bed post architecture," and in truth, though there are hundreds of otherwise very pretty villas and cottages in the new Ca ital, quite a number of their verandas and entrance porches are rendered hurtful to the eye of good taste, by being supported on bed posts, for they certainly cannot be called columns. And to cap the climax, in some of the twin dwelling houses or where there are two doors side by side, with a veranda or portico in common, the separation between the doors is for all you can imagine, of the exact shape of a partition between two horse stalls.

TABLE II.

Comparative table of sizes or sectional areas of brick piers to support fire proof or iron, brick and concrete floorings in buildings from 1 to 20 stories high. Weight per sup. ft. of roofing, flooring, partition walls, etc., 300 lbs., including 90 lbs. live load.

No. of Item for reference	No. of stories counting up-wards.	No. of stories counting down-wards.	Piers at 20' - 20' centres.			Piers at 20' - 10' centres.			Piers at 10' - 10' centres.			Piers calculated at 14 ft. high, 20 bricks per ft. cube		
			Area of pier in sq. ft.	Size of pier in ft. - in.	Weight supported in tons.	Area of pier in sq. ft.	Size of pier in ft. - in.	Weight supported in tons.	Area of pier in sq. ft.	Size of pier in ft. - in.	Weight supported in tons.	Cost of each pier at \$20.00.	Cost of each pier at \$20.00.	Cost of each pier at \$20.00.
1	Roof	Roof	2.0	1.41	6	1	1.00	30	1	0.70	15	12	6	3
2	20	1	4.0	2.00	120	2	1.41	60	1	1.00	30	24	12	6
3	19	2	6.0	2.45	180	3	1.73	90	1 1/2	1.29	45	36	18	9
4	18	3	8.0	2.83	240	4	2.00	120	2	1.41	60	48	24	12
5	17	4	10.0	3.16	300	5	2.24	150	2 1/2	1.58	75	60	30	15
6	16	5	12.0	3.46	360	6	2.45	180	3	1.72	90	72	36	18
7	15	6	14.0	3.74	420	7	2.65	210	3 1/2	1.87	105	84	42	21
8	14	7	16.0	4.00	480	8	2.83	240	4	2.00	120	96	48	24
9	13	8	18.0	4.24	540	9	3.00	270	4 1/2	2.12	135	108	54	27
10	12	9	20.0	4.47	600	10	3.16	300	5	2.24	150	120	60	30
11	11	10	22.0	4.69	660	11	3.32	330	5 1/2	2.35	165	132	66	33
12	10	11	24.0	4.90	720	12	3.46	360	6	2.45	180	144	72	36
13	9	12	26.0	5.10	780	13	3.60	390	6 1/2	2.55	195	156	78	39
14	8	13	28.0	5.29	840	14	3.74	420	7	2.65	210	168	84	42
15	7	14	30.0	5.47	900	15	3.82	450	7 1/2	2.74	225	180	90	45
16	6	15	32.0	5.65	960	16	4.00	480	8	2.83	240	192	96	48
17	5	16	31.0	5.83	1020	17	4.12	510	8 1/2	2.92	255	204	102	51
18	4	17	36.0	6.00	1080	18	4.24	540	9	3.00	270	216	108	54
19	3	18	38.0	6.16	1140	19	4.36	570	9 1/2	3.08	285	228	114	57
20	2	19	40.0	6.32	1200	20	4.47	600	10	3.16	300	240	120	60
21	1	20	42.0	6.48	1260	21	4.58	630	10 1/2	3.24	315	252	126	63

TABLE III.

WEIGHTS PER SQUARE FOOT OR SUPERFICIAL FOOT BORNE BY PIERS AND FOUNDATIONS OF CERTAIN BUILDINGS, BRIDGES AND OTHER STRUCTURES.

Says Professor Butler, as given by Mortimer at page 104-5 of his "Hand-Book":

The load on the monolithic piers supporting the large churches in Europe does not exceed (early builders using much more massive masonry, proportionally to the load to be carried, than at present).....	Per sq. foot.	30 tons.
The Toff bridge in France.....	21 "	
Former bridge at same place said to have failed at.....	64 "	
Rennie subjected good 4 ft. rubble piers to.....	22 "	
Granite piers Saltask bridge, England.....	9 "	
Brooklyn bridge piers.....	29 "	
St. Louis bridge piers before completion.....	38 "	
The same after completion.....	19 "	
Niagara suspension bridge limestone towers failed under.....	36 "	
Maximum pressure on rubble masonry and cement mortar of some of the large masonry dams.....	14 "	
Proposed Quaker bridge dam—270 ft. high.....	17 "	
The following are from the writer and others:		
At centre of the Cheops pyramid say.....	40 "	
Piers of the dome at St. Peters (the great thickness of these piers, say 20 to 30, renders the confined centre as resisting, so to say, as solid rock), say about.....	35 "	
Weight on foot side walls Joliet church.....	2 "	
Weight under tower (causing failure by sinking or settlement)....	4 "	
Strasbourg Cathedral tower, say.....	40 "	
Washington monument, 555 feet high.....	45 "	
Tower of Babel or of Belus, 650 ft. high, say.....	52 "	
Central piers Britannia bridge.....	33 "	
"Manhattan Life" building, 353 ft. high.....	15 "	
The "Equitable" building and Union Trust, built with wide footings, load the foundations, it is said, only to.....	3 "	
Proposed Hudson river bridge, 3,200 ft. span piers.....	26 "	
The Stock Exchange, Chicago, is said to load the foundation soil at.....	4 "	
Allowed by New York city regulations.....	15 "	
Load per foot square of foundation brick piers of American Surety building, say.....	6 "	
The author's design for the proposed London Eiffel tower (see fig. 5, page 18, of the 68 designs sent in, printed and published for "The Tower Company, Limited," by "Industries," 358 Strand, London, under title of "The Great Tower for London." Height of tower 1,600 ft., diameter at base 280 ft., total weight 14,303 tons, 20' wide offset balconies at every 200 ft. of total height, greatest weight on lower column at centre.....		

Average weight on the 312 first tier columns.....	Per sq. foot.	46 tons.
Total weight distributed by inverts or footings over the 61,600 ft. area, less than.....	1/4 "	
Weight at centre distributed by inverts or footings over the 100 ft. sup. of bearing to each column at centre of tower.....	1 1/2 "	
Brunel (Paris) design for proposed London Eiffel tower, 500 ft. square, 2,296 ft. high, of granite, weight 196,902 tons, weight per foot square supported by bottom piers.....	160 "	
Weight per square foot distributed over soil area of 250,000, say.....	4/5 "	

TABLE IV.

CRUSHING TESTS OF BUILDING STONE.

For many years the resistance to crushing force shown by a building stone has been considered high evidence of its homogeneity and durability.

The following table gives the resistance to crushing per square inch, shown by various stones, granites and marbles, and is compiled from General Q. A. Gillmore's report to the Chief of Engineers, United States Army; from Haswell's Engineer's Pocket Book; from "Stones for Building and Decoration," by Dr. Geo. P. Merrill, of the Department of Geology, Smithsonian Institution, and from tests made by Mr. Ira H. Woolson, C.E., at the request of the Professor of Geology of Columbia College School of Mines, on the Emery testing machine belonging to the college. Where tests have been made on a number of specimens, the highest result is given.

Paving brick should stand 10,000.00 to inch crushing force and absorb not over 2 to 3 per cent. of water.

CRUSHING WEIGHT PER SQUARE.

	Inches in Pounds.	Feet in Tons.
Aberdeen, Scotland, Granite (Haswell).....	10,760	774.7
Albion, New York, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	13,500	972.0
Altamont, California, Sandstone (Merrill).....	1,149	82.7
Arbroath, England, Sandstone (Haswell).....	7,850	460.2
Aquia Creek, — Sandstone (Haswell).....	5,340	389.5
Bardstown, Kentucky, Limestone (Gillmore).....	16,250	1,170.0
Bay of Fundy, Canada, Granite (Gillmore).....	12,020	865.5
Bedford, Indiana, Oolitic, Limestone (Merrill).....	10,125	729.0
Belleville, New Jersey, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	11,700	842.4
Berea, Ohio, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	10,250	738.0
Billingsville, Missouri, Limestone.....	7,250	522.0
Caen, France, Limestone (Gillmore).....	3,650	262.8
City Point, Maine, Granite (Gillmore).....	15,093	1,086.7
Cleveland, Ohio, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	7,910	569.5
Connecticut, Freestone (Haswell).....	3,319	238.9
Cornish, Wales, Granite (Haswell).....	6,339	456.4
Craigleith, Scotland, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	12,000	864.0
Dix Island, Maine, Granite (Gillmore).....	15,000	1,080.0
Dorset, Vermont, Marble (Gillmore).....	8,670	624.2
Dorchester, New Brunswick, S. S. (Gillmore).....	9,412	677.6
Dublin, Ireland, Granite (Haswell).....	10,450	737.4
Duluth, Minnesota, Granite (Gillmore).....	19,000	1,368.0
Edinburgh, Scotland, Sandstone (Merrill).....	12,000	864.0
English Magnesian Limestone (Haswell).....	3,130	225.3
English Angles Limestone (Haswell).....	3,600	259.2
Fairhaven, Vermont, Slate (Merrill).....	12,870	926.6
Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	6,250	450.0
Fox Island, Maine, Granite (Gillmore).....	15,002	1,084.4
Glencoe, Colorado, Sandstone (Merrill).....	12,752	918.1
Glen Falls, New York, Limestone (Gillmore).....	11,475	826.2
Greenwich, Connecticut, Granite (Gillmore).....	11,700	872.4
Harbor Quarry, Maine, Granite (Gillmore).....	16,837	1,212.3
Haverstraw, New York, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	4,350	313.2
Hummelstown, Pennsylvania, Sandstone (Merrill).....	13,610	979.9
Huron Island, Michigan, Granite (Merrill).....	20,650	1,486.8
Hurricane Island, Maine, Granite (Gillmore).....	14,937	1,075.4
Italian Marble (Merrill).....	12,156	875.2
Joliet, Illinois, Limestone (Gillmore).....	16,900	1,216.8
Jordan, Minnesota, Sandstone (Merrill).....	3,750	270.0
Kasota, Minnesota, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	11,675	840.6
Keene, New Hampshire, Granite (Merrill).....	10,375	747.0
Little Falls, New York, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	9,850	709.2
Long Meadow, Massachusetts, Sandstone (Merrill).....	8,812	634.4
Manitou, Colorado, Sandstone (Merrill).....	13,046	939.3
Marquette, Michigan, Limestone (Gillmore).....	8,050	579.6
Marquette, Michigan, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	7,450	536.4
Marblehead, Ohio, Limestone (Gillmore).....	12,600	907.2
Massillon, Ohio, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	8,750	630.0
Medina, New York, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	17,725	1,276.2
Michigan Sandstone (Merrill).....	6,323	455.2
Middletown, Connecticut, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	6,950	500.2
Mount Raymond, California, Granite (Merrill).....	5,970	429.8
Monson, Massachusetts, Granite (Merrill).....	15,390	1,108.0
New Gunnison, Colorado, Sandstone (Merrill).....	9,903	713.0
New Haven, Connecticut, Granite (Gillmore).....	9,750	702.0
New London, Connecticut, Granite (Merrill).....	12,500	900.0
Newry, England, Granite (Haswell).....	12,850	925.2
North Amherst, Ohio, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	6,650	478.8
North River Limestone (Gillmore).....	13,425	966.6
Oswego, New York, Sandstone (Merrill).....	6,220	447.8
Patapsco, Maryland, Granite (Haswell).....	5,340	384.5
Port Deposit, Maryland, Granite (Gillmore).....	19,755	1,422.3
Potsdam, New York, Sandstone, from a quarry of the Potsdam Red Sandstone Co. not crushed (Woolson).....	42,804	3,081.8
Quincy, Massachusetts, Granite (Gillmore).....	17,750	1,278.0
Quincy, Illinois, Marble (Gillmore).....	9,787	704.0
Rawlins, Wyoming, Sandstone (Merrill).....	10,833	779.9
Richmond, Virginia, Granite (Merrill).....	19,104	1,375.5
Rockport, Massachusetts, Granite (Gillmore).....	19,750	1,422.0
Scotch Whinstone (Haswell).....	8,300	547.6
Seneca, Ohio, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	10,500	756.0
Stoney Creek, Connecticut, Granite (Merrill).....	16,750	1,206.0
Stockbridge, Massachusetts, Marble (Haswell).....	10,382	747.5
Taylor's Falls, Minnesota, Sandstone (Merrill).....	5,500	396.0
Tuckahoe, New York, Marble (Gillmore).....	13,594	978.7
Vermillion, Ohio, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	8,850	637.2
Vermont Marble (Merrill).....	13,400	964.8
Vinalhaven, Maine, Granite (Gillmore).....	16,750	1,206.0
Warrensburg, Missouri, Sandstone (Gillmore).....	5,000	360.0
Westerly, Rhode Island, Granite (Gillmore).....	17,750	1,278.0
Williamsville, New York, Limestone (Gillmore).....	12,375	891.0
Yorkshire, England, Sandstone (Haswell).....	5,710	411.1