perish for lack of knowledge, while we have it in our power to send them the knowledge of Christ, whom to know is eternal life? Shall we shut our ears and apostle Paul says to the same effectand shall we, who have it in our power encouraged to put her hand to it. out a preacher? and how shall they 35). preach except they be sent?"

2 The RULE of giring. The rich man must give "out of his abundance," and the poor man out of his poverty,—each according to his means, and in proportion to his ability. Both classes alike are bound to support gospel ordinances in our own land, and missionary operations in foreign lands; and faith and labours of love," the rich and is the Maker of them all," and the Giver of all they possess; and they are bound to give back to God a portion of his own, to spread the gospel, and save souls from death. No one has a right to say, "I can do what I will with my own." Nocan do what I will with my own." thing is our own, with respect to God: but all we have is his property, and we are merely his stewards, to whom he has

every Christian, is bound to live and la- not only with reason, but also with Scripbour for the conversion of sinners, and ture. A man to whom God has given the advancement of the glory of God — much, ought plainly to give much to God; Loud and affecting are the calls which -not an unmissed mite or a trifling conare addressed to us from almost every tribution, such as might be expected from part of the world, to send the heralds of a poor man, but a contribution bearing the cross, and save souls from death.— a fair and just proportion to his means Dare we then refuse compliance with and ability. This rule was laid down by these calls, and suffer immortal souls to Moses, when he said, "Every man shall give as he is able, according to the blessing of the Lord thy God which he hath given thee" (Deut. xvi. 17). And the our hearts to the cry of perishing milliour, "Come over, and help us," and coldly and selfishly say like Cain, "Am I my
brother's keeper?" We could not stand

"Upon the first day of the week let eveous, "Come over, and help us," and coldhall prospered him." (1 Cor. xvi. 2).—
brother's keeper?" We could not stand by, and see a brother dying of hunger, and liberally "unto the offerings of if we had bread to give him. The feel- God," the poor are not exempted from ings of our nature would revolt from such the obligation. Even the poor widow a spectacle. And is it nothing then for must not be deprived of the luxury of dothe soul to die eternally, of spiritual hun- ing good; but if she has the ability and ger,-" a famine of the Word of God?" the desire to share in the work, she is to send the bread of life, shut up our the working man, whose lot is a life of bowels of compassion, and refuse our toil, is not only to provide for his own help to those who are living without God subsistence: but he is also enjoined by and without hope, and hastening onward the apostle to "labour, working with his to a miserable and undone eternity? hands the thing which is good, that he "How shall they call on him in whom may have to give to him that needeth" they have not believed? and how shall (Eph. iv. 23); "remembering the words they believe in him of whom they have of the Lord Jesus, how he said, It is more not heard? and how shall they hear with- blessed to give than to receive" (Acts xx.

In acting according to this rule, the poor man has no reason to be ashamed of his small offering, and the rich man has no reason to be proud of his costly offering; for if they give in proportion to their respective means, then they are discharging their several duties, and there is a perfect equality between them. For example, if a generous friend gives for the prosecution of these "works of me £100, and gives my neighbour only 100 shillings, and commands us both to the poor must "meet together," in friend-ly and active co-operation "The Lord his interest;—then, if I give my £10, while my neighbour gives only his 10 shillings, I have no reason to glory over him, but in that case he stands on a foo'ing of perfect equality with me. It was on this principle, that our Saviour approved so highly of the conduct of the poor widow. He had seen the rich contribute large and munificent offerings; but they had made no sacrifice in doing given the solemn charge, "Occupy till I so, and they had not given to God in pro-come." No man indeed is expected to portion to what God had given to them; give according to what he hath not, but and therefore he bestowed his commenevery man is expected and commanded dation, not on them, but on the poor wito give according to what he hath. This dow-" Of a truth I say unto you, that rule of proportion is obviously accordant, this poor widow hath cast in MORE than