

undertaken is quite groundless. So, too, is the argument based on the pretension that direct or indirect relief given to unemployed was burdensome to the public authorities in the occupied parts of Belgium. Everyone in the latter knows—that it is necessary that neutral countries also should know it—that the funds set aside by the National Committee for Relief are to a large extent provided from many voluntary givers inside and outside occupied Belgium, so the expense thrown upon Belgian local boards is negligible. As for the German Administration, it has not contributed a single centime to the expenses of public charities in Belgium nor given any help whatever to men deprived of work by its own actions. The sums spent on helping unemployed persons amount to 10-12 million francs per month.

If there existed, as the German authorities allege, any necessity for sparing Belgian local finance from the burden of fresh "unproductive" expenditure, why do those authorities continue to crush the war levy of 40 million francs instance for one year, then at any time be reduced or rescinded?

Why, on November 20, 1915, to 50 millions of francs monthly? German Government itself has Norddeutsche Allgemeine Zeitung, amount that could be wrung from the country? (See the German quotation from the *Freisinn*, p. 51.)

This increase, be it noted, with the obligation to provide the *shape of loans*, and is also exacted monthly among the unemployed.

When making the two series of decrees of August 14 and 15, 1915, and May 2 and 15, 1916, the one end in view—to exclude Belgian employment on Belgian work; and to keep Belgian factories and yards engaged on German work. The direct purpose was to bring about general unemployment in Belgium and so have labour to enlist or deport.

The decrees of May 2 and 15 were in force four months only, a period long enough to paralyse the last attempt of the Belgian organisation and artificially make the problems of unemployment insoluble. So on October 3, 1916, there appeared the decree of German Great Headquarters which, pretending anxiety about

the grievously strained, the expenditure why do those authorities—*a permanent* month for in the first instance, though it can at the

new orders increase from every contribution which the ready December 14 in the decree to be the maximum amount of the month, and the more in Chapter I.

provinces along indemnity in the the sums distributed

August 14 and 15, priorities had only ely from employ- it only German work. The direct

employment in Belgium and