

CBC—AMOUNT PAID BY “LE JOUR” FOR PUBLICITY

Question No. 376—**Mr. Herbert:**

How much was paid by the newspaper *Le Jour* to CBC for publicity?

Hon. James Hugh Faulkner (Secretary of State): I am informed by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation as follows: The CBC considered the arrival on the competitive Montreal newspaper scene of a new daily newspaper as an event of legitimate public interest. The significance of *Le Jour* and its administration and style were such that its inauguration received extensive coverage and comment not only by other Montreal newspapers and broadcasting stations but also on programs of the English and French radio and television stations of the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation in Montreal. Payment to the CBC by a newspaper or any individuals, groups of individuals, companies or organizations in connection with the discussion of, or reference to them, in the CBC's programs in contrary to the established policies of the CBC and was, therefore, not involved in the case of the newspaper *Le Jour*.

CBC—PIERRE BRISSON INTERVIEW

Question No. 394—**Mr. Herbert:**

Was Pierre Brisson paid by CBC for the interview he granted to the said Corporation?

Hon. James Hugh Faulkner (Secretary of State): I am informed by the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation as follows: Pierre Brisson received a fee from the CBC for the interview he granted to the said corporation.

PARLIAMENT HILL—DISPOSITION OF PAPER WASTE

Question No. 411—**Mr. Haliburton:**

1. Who hauls away the paper waste from Parliament Hill?
2. What is the cost of removal?
3. How many tons of paper are involved (a) per month (b) per year?
4. Is paper waste separated from other garbage?
5. Is such waste (a) recycled (b) incinerated (c) used as land fill?
6. What was the volume of waste removed from Parliament Hill in (a) 1965 (b) 1967 (c) 1969 (d) 1971 (e) 1973?
7. If it is incinerated, what is the cost of incineration?

Hon. Jean-Eudes Dubé (Minister of Public Works): 1. Tri-Cil Waste Management Limited (City of Ottawa Garbage Contractor).

2. Approximately \$609 per month. During 1973 a total of 370,370 cubic feet of waste was removed at a cost of \$7,306.

3. Paper is not weighed.

4. No.

5. (c) Used as land fill.

6.

Garbage	Waste Pater
(a) 1965, 66,612 cubic feet	Disposed by C.A.D.C.
(b) 1967, 101,192 cubic feet	Disposed by C.A.D.C.
(c) 1969, 111,754 cubic feet	Disposed by C.A.D.C.
(d) 1971, 110,292 cubic feet	Disposed by C.A.D.C.
(e) 1973, 370,370 cubic feet	Included in garbage

Order Paper Questions

7. The waste is not incinerated.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION—POSITIONS ADVERTISED

Question No. 421—**Mr. Stollery:**

1. For the years 1971, 1972 and 1973, how many Public Service positions that were advertised in newspapers by the Public Service Commission were subsequently filled from within the ranks of the Public Service?

2. What was the total cost of advertising positions by the Public Service Commission in Canadian newspapers for each of these years?

Hon. James Hugh Faulkner (Secretary of State): I am informed by the Public Service Commission as follows: 1. During 1971, 1972 and 1973 the Public Service Commission made the following appointments:

	1971	1972	1973
To the Public Service	7,226	7,992	8,650
From within the Public Service	10,100	11,920	13,800

Departments made the following appointments under delegated authority:

	1971	1972	1973
To the Public Service	20,480	30,576	30,329
From within the Public Service	28,869	37,996	45,736

The computerized and manual report systems of the Public Service Commission are not programmed in such a way as to permit us to say how many of the appointments from within the Public Service were related to vacancies originally advertised in open competitions or how many of the appointments to the Public Service resulted from advertising.

2. 1971-72, \$306,172.89; 1972-73, \$739,585.92; 1973-74 (To January 31/74), \$627,069.23. The figures include costs of advertising in newspapers and periodicals, some of which would lead to appointments by departments, under delegated staffing activity.

APPLICATION FOR PERMITS FOR EXPORT TO CUBA

Question No. 440—**Mr. Harney:**

For each year 1969 to 1973 (a) what was the number of applications for permits for export to Cuba (b) what was the number of permits granted under the Export and Import Permits Act?

Mr. Raynald Guay (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): The answers to the above-noted questions are contained in the following table.

Year	Export Permit Applications Received	Export Permits Issued	Export Permit Applications withdrawn at Request of Applicant or on basis of no permit required	Export Permit Applications Refused
1969	85	71	7	7
1970	169	137	27	5
1971	127	103	13	11
1972	113	94	15	4
1973	81	40	34	7
Totals:	575	445	96	34